

Moving Picture, Audio and Data Coding by Artificial Intelligence www.mpai.community

MPAI Conformance Testing V1

Context-based Audio Enhancement MPAI-CAE Version 1.4

V1

WARNING

Use of the technologies described in this Technical Specification may infringe patents, copyrights or intellectual property rights of MPAI Members or non-members.

MPAI or any of its Members accept no responsibility whatsoever for damages or liability, direct or consequential, which may result from use of this Technical Specification.

Readers are invited to review Annex 1 – Notices and Disclaimers.

© Copyright MPAI 2021-2023. All rights reserved

Conformance Testing Specification for Context-based Audio Enhancement (MPAI-CAE) Version 1.4

| 1 | Introdu | ction | 3 | | |
|---|--|---|----|--|--|
| 2 | Scope of | of standard | 4 | | |
| 3 | Terms | and definitions | 5 | | |
| 4 | Referen | 1ces | 9 | | |
| | 4.1 No | rmative references | 9 | | |
| | 4.2 Inf | ormative references | 9 | | |
| 5 | Confor | mance Testing | 10 | | |
| | 5.1 Da | ta Sharing Procedure | 10 | | |
| | 5.2 Em | notion Enhanced Speech (EES) | 10 | | |
| | 5.2.1 | Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) AIMs | 11 | | |
| | 5.2.2 | Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Speech Feature Analyser1 AIM | 11 | | |
| | 5.2.3 | Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Emotion Inserter1 | 14 | | |
| | 5.2.4 | Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Speech Feature Analyser2 AIM | 16 | | |
| | 5.2.5 | Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Emotion Feature Producer | 18 | | |
| | 5.2.6 | Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Emotion Inserter2 | 20 | | |
| | 5.3 Au | dio Recording Preservation (ARP) | 22 | | |
| | 5.3.1 | Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) AIMs | 23 | | |
| | 5.3.2 | Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Audio Analyser | 23 | | |
| | 5.3.3 | Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Video Analyser | 25 | | |
| | 5.3.4 | Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Tape Irregularity Classifier | 27 | | |
| | 5.3.5 | Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Tape Audio Restoration | 29 | | |
| | 5.3.6 | Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Packager | 31 | | |
| | 5.4 Sp | eech Restoration System (CAE-SRS) | 34 | | |
| | 5.4.1 | Speech Restoration System (SRS) AIMs | 34 | | |
| | 5.4.2 | Speech Model Creation | 35 | | |
| | 5.4.3 | Speech Synthesiser | 36 | | |
| | 5.4.4 | Assembler | 38 | | |
| | * Optiona | ıl field | 40 | | |
| | 5.5 En | hanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) | 40 | | |
| | 5.5.1 | Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) AIMs | 40 | | |
| | 5.5.2 | Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Analysis Transform | 41 | | |
| | 5.5.3 | Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Sound Field Description | 43 | | |
| | 5.5.4 | Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Speech Detection and | | | |
| | Separat | tion | 46 | | |
| | 5.5.5 | Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Noise Cancellation | 48 | | |
| | 5.5.6 | Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Synthesis Transform | 51 | | |
| | 5.5.7 | Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Packager | 53 | | |
| A | nnex 1 – N | /IPAI-wide terms and definitions (Normative) | | | |
| A | nnex 2 - N | otices and Disclaimers Concerning MPAI Standards (Informative) | 59 | | |
| A | Annex 3 – The Governance of the MPAI Ecosystem (Informative) | | | | |

1 Introduction

Technical Specification: Context-based Audio Enhancement (MPAI-CAE) [3] has been developed MPAI – Moving Picture, Audio, and Data Coding by Artificial Intelligence, the international, unaffiliated, non-profit organisation developing standards for Artificial Intelligence (AI)-based data coding with clear Intellectual Property Rights licensing frameworks [9] in compliance with the rigorous MPAI standards developing process¹ while pursuing the following policies:

- 1. Be friendly to the AI context but, to the extent possible, agnostic to the technology AI or Data Processing used in an implementation.
- 2. Be attractive to different industries, end users, and regulators.
- 3. Address three levels of standardisation: data types, components (called AI Modules), configurations of components (called AI Workflows) all exposing standard interfaces with a level of modularity decided by the implementer.
- 4. Specify the data exchanged by components with clear semantics to the extent possible.

As manager of the MPAI Ecosystem specified by Governance of MPAI Ecosystem (MPAI-GME) [1Error! Reference source not found.], MPAI also ensures that a user can:

- 1. Operate a reference implementation of the Technical Specification, by providing a Reference Software Specification with associated software.
- 2. Test the conformance of an implementation with the Technical Specification, by providing Conformance Testing Specification.
- 3. Assess the performance of an implementation of a Technical Specification, by providing the Performance Assessment Specification.
- 4. Get conforming implementations, possibly with a performance assessment report, from a trusted source through the MPAI Store.

The AI Framework (MPAI-AIF) Technical Specification [2] enables an effective implementation of some of the policies outlined above thanks to its AI Framework (AIF) environment enabling the secure execution of AI Workflows (AIW) constituted by components called AI Modules (AIM).



Figure 1 – The AI Framework (MPAI-AIF) V2 Reference Model

With MPAI-AIF, users can execute AI applications having an explicit computing workflow. Component developers can provide components with standard interfaces that afford improved performance compared to other implementations.

¹ https://mpai.community/about/the-mpai-patent-policy/

AIW and its AIMs may have 3 interoperability levels:

Level 1 – Implementer-specific and satisfying the MPAI-AIF Standard.

Level 2 – Specified by an MPAI Application Standard.

Level 3 – Specified by an MPAI Application Standard and certified by a Performance Assessor. AI Modules can execute data processing or Artificial Intelligence algorithms and can be implemented in hardware, software, or hybrid hardware/software.

However, MPAI does not specify the choice of interoperability level or type, which remains the sole decision of the implementer.

This *Conformance Testing Specification: Context-based Audio Enhancement* (MPAI-CAE) specifies methods enabling users to ascertain whether a data type generated by an AIM or an AIW conform with the MPAI-CAE Technical Specification. The Version of this MPAI-CAE *Conformance Testing Specification* is Version 1.4.

The chapters and the annexes of this *Conformance Testing Specification: Context-based Audio Enhancement* (MPAI-CAE) are Normative unless they are labelled as Informative. Terms beginning with a capital letter are defined in *Table 1* if specific of this MPAI-AIF Technical Specification, or in *Table 66* is used across MPAI Standards.

2 Scope of standard

MPAI Context-based Audio Enhancement (MPAI-CAE) [3] is an MPAI Standard comprising 4 Use Cases, all sharing the characteristic of using AI to improve the user experience for audiorelated applications including entertainment, communication, teleconferencing, gaming, post-production, restoration etc. in a variety of contexts, such as in the home, in the car, on the go, in the studio etc., using context information to act on the input audio content, and potentially deliver the processed output via an appropriate protocol. This standard includes 4 Use Cases referenced as *Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES), Audio Recording Preservation (ARP), Speech Restoration System (SSR),* and *Enhanced Audioconference Experience (EAE).*

This Conformance Testing Specification (CTS) provides the MPAI Store with the Conformance Testing Dataset and/or the method to generate the Conformance Testing Dataset, the Tools, and the Procedures – in the following called the Means – to verify that the AIMs and/or the AIW of a Use Case belonging to *MPAI Context-based Audio Enhancement (MPAI-CAE)* have been properly implemented: they shall produce data whose Semantics and Format conform with the Normative clauses of the relevant Technical Specification [3] while providing at least the level of user experience specified in this document.

The *MPAI Context-based Audio Enhancement (MPAI-CAE)* Conformance Testing is based on the following process:

- 1. Valid Conformance Tests may only be carried out by the MPAI Store using the Means specified in this document.
- 2. MPAI does not make Conformance Testing Datasets publicly available for NN and AI-based AIMs.
- 3. In the case that the Conformance Testing Datasets are not publicly available, the MPAI Store randomly selects a large and balanced subset of test items and feeds it to the AIM under test.
- 4. Submitters will be informed of the outcome of the Conformance Tests through a form which is provided in this document (see Section 5).
- 5. Submitters will be able to verify that the AIM does indeed provide the results reported by the MPAI Store in the form:

- a. By subjecting the submitted AIM to the inputs corresponding to the identifiers of the records of the Conformance Testing Dataset used by the MPAI Store
- b. By verifying that the AIM produced an output having the same value as the output provided by the MPAI Store.

The number of the Conformance Testing Dataset records provided has been kept at a minimum as specified in this Conformance Testing Specification.

- 6. All implementers will be properly informed in two ways how the AIM has passed Conformance Tests: in a descriptive sentence and with the full table of results, as described in this document.
- 7. Some Conformance Testing Datasets may only be accessible within a time window and for a limited number of accesses after the test results have been received.

The current version of *MPAI Context-based Audio Enhancement (MPAI-CAE)* CTS has been developed by the *MPAI Context-based Audio Enhancement* Development Committee (CAE -DC). MPAI may decide to produce new Versions of the *MPAI Context-based Audio Enhancement (MPAI-CAE)* CTS.

3 Terms and definitions

The MPAI-specific and capitalised Terms used in this *MPAI Context-based Audio Enhancement* (*MPAI-CAE*) CTS have the meaning defined in *Table 1*. General MPAI Terms are defined *Table 66*.

| Term | Definition |
|---------------------|--|
| Access Copy Files | Set of files providing the information stored in an audio tape record- |
| | ing, including Restored Audio Files, suitable for audio information |
| | access, but not for long-term preservation. |
| Accuracy | The ratio between the number of correct predictions and the total |
| | number of predictions. |
| Audio | Digital representation of an analogue audio signal sampled at a fre- |
| | quency between 8-192 kHz with a number of bits/sample between 8 |
| | and 32. |
| Audio Block | A set of consecutive Audio samples. |
| Audio Channel | A sequence of Audio Blocks. |
| Audio File | A .wav file. |
| Audio Object | Direct audio source which is in the audible frequency band. |
| Audio Scene Geome- | Spatial information for the Audio Objects which are included in an |
| try | audio scene. |
| Audio Segment | An Audio Block with Start Time and an End Time Labels corre- |
| | sponding to the time of the first and last sample of the Audio Seg- ment, respectively. |
| Audio-Visual File | A file containing audio and video according to the MP4 File Format. |
| Capstan | The capstan is a rotating spindle used to move recording tape through |
| _ | the mechanism of a tape recorder. |
| Conformance Testing | Dataset used by the MPAI Store to Test the Conformance of an AIM |
| Dataset | to a Technical Specification. |
| Damaged List | A list of strings of Texts corresponding to the Damaged Segments (if |
| | any) requiring replacement with synthetic segments. |

Table 1 – MPAI-CAE Terms

| Damaged Section | An Audio Segment which is damaged in its entirety and is contained |
|---------------------|---|
| | in a Damaged Segment. |
| Damaged Segment | An Audio Segment containing only speech (and not containing music |
| | or other sounds) which is either damaged in its entirety or contains one |
| | or more Damaged Sections specified in the Damaged List. |
| Degree | Strength of a feature, specifically, with respect to Emotion, "High", |
| C . | "Medium", or "Low". |
| Editing List | The description of the speed, equalisation and reading backwards cor- |
| | rections occurred during the restoration process. |
| Emotion | One of the human emotions standardised by MPAI, or in an aug- |
| | mented or alternate version provided by a user. |
| Emotionless Speech | An Audio File containing speech without music and other sounds, and |
| 1 | in which little or no identifiable emotion is perceptible by native lis- |
| | teners. |
| False Negative | The result of an assessment that incorrectly indicates the absence of a |
| 0 | condition or characteristic. |
| False Positive | The result of an assessment that incorrectly indicates the presence of |
| | a condition or characteristic. |
| Interleaved Multi- | A data structure containing more than 2 time-aligned interleaved Au- |
| channel Audio | dio Channels. |
| Irregularity | An event of interest to preservation |
| Irregularity File | A ISON file containing information about Irregularities of the ARP |
| inegularity The | inputs |
| Irregularity Image | An Image corresponding to an Irregularity |
| ISON | JavaScript object notation |
| Microphone Array | Description of the position of each microphone comprising the mi- |
| Geometry | crophone array and specific characteristics such as microphone type |
| Geometry | look directions and the array type |
| Model Utterance | An Audio Segment used as a model or demonstration of the Emotion |
| Widder Otteranee | to be added to Emotionless Speech in order to produce Speech with |
| | Emotion |
| Multichannel Audio | Multichannel Audio packaged with Audio Scene Geometry |
| + Audio Scene Geo- | Wuttenamer Audio packaged with Audio Seene Geometry. |
| metry | |
| Neural Network | A Neural Network Model trained on Speech Segments for Modelling |
| Speech Model | and used to synthesise replacements for the entire Damaged Segment |
| specen woder | or Damaged Sections within it |
| Passthrough AIM | $\Delta n \Delta IM$ with the same input and output data of an ΔIM without ever |
| i assunougn Anvi | A with the same input and output data of an ANV without exe- |
| | does not cancel the noise |
| Power Spectral Den- | The power of a signal described as a function of its frequency com- |
| sity (PSD) | ponents |
| Precision | The ratio between the number of True Positives and the total number |
| | of True Positives and False Positives |
| Preservation Audio | The input Audio File resulting from the digitisation of an audio open- |
| File | reel tape to be preserved and in case restored |
| Preservation Audio | The input Audio-Visual File produced by a comerce pointed to the |
| Visual File | playback head of the magnetic tane recorder and the synchronized |
| | Audio resulting from the tape digitisation process |
| 1 | ruuto resulting from the tape digitisation process. |

| Preservation Image | A Video frame extracted from Preservation Audio-Visual File. |
|----------------------|--|
| Preservation Master | Set of files providing the information stored in an audio tape recording |
| Files | without any restoration. As soon as the original analogue recordings |
| | is no more accessible, it becomes the new item for long-term preser- |
| | vation. |
| Recall | The ratio between the number of True Positives and the total number |
| | of True Positives plus False Negatives. |
| Restored Audio Files | Set of Audio Files derived from the Preservation Audio File, where |
| | potential speed, equalisation or reading backwards errors that oc- |
| | curred in the digitisation process have been corrected. |
| Restored Audio Seg- | An Audio Segment in which the entire segment has been replaced by |
| ment | a synthetic speech segment, or in which each Damaged Segment has |
| | been replaced by a synthetic speech segment. |
| Root Mean Square | The square root of the mean square of the difference between two |
| Error (RMSE) | signals |
| Sensitivity | The ratio between the number of True Positives and the number of |
| | retrieved elements (i.e., True Positives and False Positives). |
| Specificity | The ratio between the number of True Negatives and the number of |
| | True Negatives plus False Positives. |
| Speech Segments for | A set of Audio Files containing speech segments used to train the Neu- |
| Modelling | ral Network Speech Model. |
| Speech With Emotion | An Audio File containing speech with emotional features. |
| File | |
| Spherical Grid Reso- | The maximum spherical angle between any two neighbouring sam- |
| lution | pled points on a sphere. |
| Time Code | Number of ms from 1970-01-01T00:00:00.000. |
| Time Label | A measure of time from a context-dependent zero time expressed as |
| | HH:mm:ss.SSS. |
| Training Dataset | Dataset used during the training of an AIM |
| Transform Denoised | Transform Audio whose samples are Denoised Speech samples. |
| Speech | |
| True Negative | The result of an assessment that correctly indicates the absence of a |
| | condition or characteristic. |
| True Positive | The result of an assessment that correctly indicates the presence of a |
| | condition or characteristic. |
| Useful Signal | Digital signal resulting from the A/D conversion of the analogue sig- |
| | nal recorded in an audio tape. |

The acronyms used in this *MPAI Context-based Audio Enhancement (MPAI-CAE)* CTS have the meaning defined in *Table 2*.

| Acronym | Meaning |
|---------|---------------------------------|
| В | Brands on tape |
| DA | Damaged tape |
| DI | Dirt |
| EOT | Ends Of Tape |
| ESV | Equalization Standard Variation |
| Μ | Marks |

Table 2 - List of MPAI-CAE Acronyms.

| PPS | Play, Pause and Stop |
|------|-----------------------------------|
| PSD | Power Spectral Density |
| RMSE | Root Mean Square Error |
| S | Shadows |
| SB | Signal Backward |
| SHD | Spherical Harmonics Decomposition |
| SOT | Start Of Tape |
| SP | Splice |
| SSV | Speed Standard Variation |
| WF | Wow and Flutter |

Table 3 – Measurement metrics definitions

| Metric | Computation |
|------------------------------|--|
| Amplitude | Given a discrete-time signal x of finite length N , the maximum dis- |
| | placements of the points on the signal is peak to peak Amplitude |
| | A[n] and it is given as: |
| | $A[n] = max(\Re\{x\}) - \min(\Re\{x\})$ |
| | for each $0 \le n < N$, where max(.) denotes the maximum value over |
| | <i>x</i> , and min(.) denotes the minimum value over <i>x</i> , where x[n] is a |
| | real or complex number. |
| Angle Between Two Vectors | Given two vectors \vec{u} and \vec{v} , the angle between these two vectors is: |
| | $\Omega = \cos^{-1}(\frac{u \cdot v}{ u v })$ |
| Average | Given a data set $X = \{x_1,, x_n\}$, containing real or complex numbers and its Average is: |
| | $A = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Re\{x_i\}$ |
| Cross-Correlation | Given two discrete-time signals x and y of equal finite length N, the Cross-Correlation $R_{x,y}[n]$ is: |
| | $R_{x,y}[n] = \sum_{m=0}^{N-n-1} \overline{x[m]} y[m+n]$ |
| | for each $0 \le n < N$, where $\overline{x[m]}$ denotes the complex conjugate of $x[m]$. |
| Power Spectral Den- | Given a discrete-time signal x of finite length N , the Power Spectral |
| sity | Density of x is computed by following Welch's method [9]: |
| | 1. Partition x in K segments of equal length M . |
| | 2. Compute a windowed Discrete Fourier Transform for each segment k $0 \le k \le K$. |

| | $X_k[l] = \sum_m x_k[m]w[m]e^{-j2\pi lm/M}$ | | |
|-------------------|--|--|--|
| | where $0 \le m, l < M$ and $w[m]$ is a windowing function. | | |
| | 3. Compute the estimate: | | |
| | $S_{x}[l] = \frac{1}{K} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \frac{1}{W} X_{k}[l] ^{2}$ | | |
| | where $W = 1/M \sum_{m=0}^{M} w^2[m]$. | | |
| | The parameters shall be set as follow: | | |
| | • $M = 4096.$ | | |
| | • Each segment shall have <i>M</i> /4 overlapping samples. | | |
| | • <i>w</i> [<i>m</i>] is the Hamming window. | | |
| Root Mean Squared | Given two discrete-time signals x and y of equal finite length N , the | | |
| Error | Root Mean Squared Error is: | | |
| | $RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} (x[n] - y[n])^2}{N}}$ | | |

4 References

4.1 Normative references

The following documents are normatively referenced by this Standard.

- 1. Technical Specification: The Governance of the MPAI Ecosystem (MPAI-GME) V1; https://mpai.community/standards/mpai-gme/.
- 2. Technical Specification: AI Framework (MPAI-AIF) V1; <u>https://mpai.community/stand-ards/mpai-aif/</u>.
- 3. Technical Specification MPAI Context-based Audio Enhancement (MPAI-CAE) V1.4 https://mpai.community/standards/mpai-cae/.
- 4. Reference Software: MPAI Context-based Audio Enhancement (MPAI- CAE) https://mpai.community/standards/mpai-cae/.
- 5. General licence for MPAI software; https://mpai.community/about/licence/.
- **6.** ITU-T P.862 Perceptual evaluation of speech quality (PESQ): An objective method for end-to-end speech quality assessment of narrow-band telephone networks and speech codecs.
- 7. ITU-R BS.2088-1 (10/2019) Long-form file format for the international exchange of audio programme materials with metadata.
- 8. ITU-T T-81: Information technology Digital compression and coding of continuous-tone still images: Requirements and guidelines.
- 9. MPAI; Patent Policy; https://mpai.community/about/the-mpai-patent-policy/

4.2 Informative references

- CAE-DC; An introduction to MPAI Context-based Audio Enhancement (MPAI-CAE); https://mpai.community/wp-content/uploads/2022/02/N549-Introduction-to-MPAI-CAE.docx.
- 11. P. Welch, "The use of fast Fourier transform for the estimation of power spectra: A method based on time averaging over short, modified periodograms," in IEEE Transactions on Audio and Electroacoustics, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 70-73, June 1967, doi: 10.1109/TAU.1967.1161901.

12. Vincent, Emmanuel, Rémi Gribonval, and Cédric Févotte. "Performance measurement in blind audio source separation." IEEE transactions on audio, speech, and language processing 14.4 (2006): 1462-1469.

5 Conformance Testing

5.1 Data Sharing Procedure

In general, Conformance Testing of the CAE AIMs and AIWs requires a large set of data. The relative test results are based on scoring measures specified for each AIM/AIW. For many AIMs, the computation of a scoring measure involves a large data set to assess if the submitted implementation is conformant to the standard. When appropriate (see detailed description in the following Sections of this document), the Conformance Tester may share a small amount of data with the Submitter.

Only in case of a negative outcome, the Conformance Tester will share a small amount of data with the Submitter with the scope of verifying the testing results. The amount/type of data shared is described in the specific tables relative to the AIM under test. Typically, the shared data shall be roughly 1% of the available ground truth data used in the evaluation procedure. In addition, the shared data will be composed of two parts, one consisting of positive cases (i.e., when the test result satisfies the CT requirements) and the other of negative cases (i.e., when the test result does not satisfy the CT requirements); the two parts will always contain the same number of data sets, if possible. In case legal, ethical and privacy issues impede the sharing of specific data, no data will be provided by the Conformance Tester.

In summary, the following steps are fulfilled in the data sharing procedure.

- 1. The Submitter submits an AIM (or an AIW) to be verified by the Conformance Tester.
- 2. The Conformance Tester, following the Conformance Testing specification, assesses that the submitted AIM/AIW is *not* conformant with the standard.
- 3. In the Conformance Testing form the test provider shares with the Submitter the test results.
- 4. *If requested by the Submitter and provided for in the AIM (AIW) Conformance Testing (CT) Specification*, the Conformance Tester shares with the Submitter a small amount of data as described in the CT specification.
- 5. The Conformance Tester, foreseeing new submissions, marks the shared data set as "shared".

The steps above will be applied to subsequent submissions.

5.2 Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES)

The normative Architecture of Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) is given by *Figure 2*. The figure depicts two possible paths (Modes) through the workflow, only one of which will be selected via the Mode Selection input element. The two paths represent alternative ways of adding emotion to an Emotionless Speech input segment. They could be considered as separate workflows but are described together to ease comparison and exposition. The path through Speech Feature Analyser1 assumes the use of a Model Utterance which demonstrates one way to pronounce the Emotionless Speech input segment with appropriate emotion. Speech Feature Analyser1 extracts certain speech features (Speech Features1) from this demonstration utterance for later combination with the Emotionless Speech input segment. By contrast, the path through Speech Feature Analyser2 employs Emotion List, a list of the desired emotions to be added, e.g., "angry" or "sad." Speech Feature

Analyser2 extracts certain speech features (Emotionless Speech Features) from the Emotionless Speech input segment and passes them with this list and an indication of the current Language to Emotion Feature Producer, which shall then produce additional features (Speech Features2) that can convey the specified emotions when combined with Emotionless Speech for the relevant Language. Emotion Inserter1 and Emotion Inserter2 will combine Speech Features1 or Speech Features2 are not expected to be interchangeable.

At this stage this document does not include the specifics for some of the threshold values for this use case. The testing authority should employ widely accepted best practices.



Figure 2 – Reference Model of Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES)

The input/output data format are specified in 5.1 of [3].

5.2.1 Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) AIMs

Table 4 gives the AIMs and the input/output data of Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES).

| Table 4 – AIMs and their I/O | data of Emotion | Enhanced Speech (EES) |
|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|
|------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------|

| AIM | Input Data | Output Data |
|---------------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Speech Feature Analyser 1 | Model Utterance | Speech Features1 |
| Speech Feature Analyser2 | Emotionless speech | Emotionless Speech Features |
| Emotion Feature Producer | Emotionless Speech Features | Speech Features2 |
| | Emotion List | |
| | Language | |
| Emotion Inserter1 | Emotionless Speech | Speech with Emotion |
| | Speech Features1 | |
| Emotion Inserter2 | Emotionless Speech | Speech with Emotion |
| | Speech Features2 | |

5.2.2 Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Speech Feature Analyser1 AIM

Table 5 gives the input/output data of the Speech Feature Analyser1 AIM.

Table 5 – I/O Data of Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Speech Feature Analyser1 AIM

| AIM | Input Data | Output Data |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| Speech Feature Analyser1 | Model Utterance | Speech Features1 |

Table 6 gives the Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Speech Feature Analyser1 Means (verification procedures) and how they are used.

Table 6 – Means and use of Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Speech Feature Analyser1 AIM

| Means | Actions | |
|--------------------|---|--|
| Conformance | DS1: a dataset of at least $n > M$ Model Utterances. | |
| Testing Da- | DS2: a dataset of <i>n</i> Speech Features 1 arrays, where each is associated with a | |
| taset | specific utterance of DS1 used as input, and thus represents one correct output, | |
| | given this input. | |
| Procedure | For each of the <i>n</i> Model Utterances in input: | |
| | 1. Feed the Speech Feature Analyser (SFA) 1 under test with the current | |
| | Model Utterance. | |
| | 2. Verify that the number of features in output Speech Features 1 array | |
| | equals the corresponding one in DS2. | |
| | 3. For each feature of the output Speech Features 1 array, compute the <i>delta</i> | |
| | (absolute difference) between: | |
| | a. the pitch property and the corresponding DS2 data in Hz. | |
| | b. the intensity property and the corresponding DS2 data in dB. | |
| | c. the duration property and the corresponding DS2 data in ms. | |
| | 4. Compute the Average of: | |
| | a. The <i>deltas</i> of the pitch property. | |
| | b. The <i>deltas</i> of the intensity property. | |
| | c. The <i>deltas</i> of the duration property. | |
| | Then, compute the Average for each of the three properties among the <i>n</i> | |
| | Model Utterances. | |
| | Considering one of the three properties (pitch intensity and duration) and | |
| | denoting it as <i>p</i> , a mathematical representation of the computation for each | |
| | property is: | |
| | $\sum_{i=1}^{m_i} SFA1_n(k) - DS2_n(k) $ | |
| | $A_{p_i} = \frac{\mathbf{Z}_{k=1}}{m_i}$ | |
| | m_i | |
| | $\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}$ | |
| | $A_p = \frac{2i = 1^{n_p} i}{n_p}$ | |
| | Where: | |
| | • For $1 < i < n$, A_n , represents the Average of the <i>deltas</i> of the <i>i-th</i> | |
| | Speech Features 1 array for property p | |
| | • m_i is the length of the <i>i</i> -th Speech Features 1 arrays | |
| | • For $1 \le k \le m$, SEA1 (k) and DS2 (k) denote the k th value for | |
| | • FOLT $\leq \kappa \leq m_i$, STAT _p (κ) and $DS2_p(\kappa)$ denote the κ - <i>in</i> value for | |
| | property p of, respectively, the Speech Features 1 array coming from the Speech Feature Analyzer 1 under test and the Speech Feature 1 | |
| | array contained in DS2 | |
| L | | |

| | • A _p represents the final Average for property p. | |
|------------|--|--|
| Evaluation | 1. Condition 2 shall be respected. | |
| | 2. Given the three A_p Averages computed at the end of the Procedure, if: | |
| | $Res = \frac{A_{pitch}}{2} + \frac{A_{intensity}}{4} + \frac{A_{duration}}{4} < m$ | |
| | the Speech Feature Analyser 1 module under test has passed the Conform- | |
| | ance Test. | |
| | 3. Otherwise, Speech Feature Analyser 1 does not pass the Conformance | |
| | Test. | |



Figure 3 – EES Speech Feature Analyser1.

After the Tests, Conformance Tester shall fill out Table 7.

| Table 7 – Conformance Testing form of E | Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Speech Feature Ana- |
|---|---|
| ly | lyser1 (AIM1) |

| Conformance Tester ID | Unique Conformance Tester Identifier assigned by MPAI | | | |
|----------------------------|---|--------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|
| Standard, Use Case ID and | Standard ID and Use Case ID, Version and Profile of the | | | |
| Version | standard in the form "CAE:EES:1.2:0". | | | |
| Name of AIM | Speech Feature Analyser1 | | | |
| Implementer ID | Unique Implementer Identifier assigned by Conformance | | | |
| | Tester. | 1 | T 1 | 11 7 1 |
| AIM Implementation Version | Unique Imp | lementation | Identifier assign | ied by Implementer. |
| Neural Network Version* | Unique Neural Network Identifier assigned by Implementer. | | | |
| Identifier of Test Dataset | Unique Dataset Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester. | | | |
| Test ID | Unique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester. | | | |
| | Actual output provided as a matrix of $n+1$ rows containing all computed Average values: | | | |
| | # | Pitch | Intensity | Duration |
| | 1 | $A_{pitch}[1]$ | $A_{intensity}[1]$ | $A_{duration}[1]$ |
| A stual output | | | | |
| Actual output | n | $A_{pitch}[n]$ | $A_{intensity}[n]$ | $A_{duration}[n]$ |
| | Averages | A _{pitch} | A _{intensity} | A _{duration} |
| | Result: <i>Res</i> Threshold: <i>1</i> | n | | |
| | Final evaluation: Passed / Not passed | | | |

| Execution time* | Duration of test execution. |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Test comment* | |
| Test Date | yyyy/mm/dd. |

5.2.3 Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Emotion Inserter1

Table 8 gives the input/output data of the Emotion Inserter1 AIM.

Table 8 – I/O Data of Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Emotion Inserter1

| AIM | Input Data | Output Data |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Emotion Inserter1 | Emotionless Speech | Speech with Emotion |
| | Speech Features1 | |

Table 9 gives the Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Emotion Inserter1 Means and how they are used.

Table 9 – AIM Means and use of Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Emotion Inserter1 (AIM2 in Figure 3)

| Means | Actions |
|-------------|--|
| Conform- | DS1: a dataset of at least $x > M$ Emotionless Speeches. |
| ance Test- | DS2: a dataset of x Speech Features 1, each corresponding to a specific Emotion- |
| ing Dataset | less Speech. |
| Procedure | For each of the x input pairs of DS1 and DS2: |
| | 1. Feed the Emotion Inserter 1 under test with an Emotionless Speech and its |
| | corresponding array of Speech Features 1. |
| | 2. Feed the reference Speech Feature Analyser 1 (ID: <i>S</i>) with the Speech with |
| | Emotion came as output from the Emotion Inserter 1 under test. |
| | 3. Verify that the number of features in Speech Features 1 array coming as |
| | output from the reference Speech Feature Analyser 1 equals the correspond- |
| | ing one in DS2. |
| | 4. For each feature of the output Speech Features 1 array, compute the <i>delta</i> |
| | (absolute difference) between: |
| | a. the pitch property and the corresponding DS2 data in Hz. |
| | b. the intensity property and the corresponding DS2 data in dB. |
| | c. the duration property and the corresponding DS2 data in ms. |
| | 5. Compute the Average of: |
| | a. The <i>deltas</i> of the pitch property. |
| | b. The <i>deltas</i> of the intensity property. |
| | c. The <i>deltas</i> of the duration property. |
| | Then, compute the Average for each of the three properties among the <i>n</i> Model |
| | Utterances. |
| Evaluation | 1. Condition 3 shall be respected. |
| | 2. Given the three Averages computed at the end of the Procedure and denoting |
| | them with A_p , where p represents one among the three properties (pitch, in- |
| | tensity and duration), if: |

| $Res = \frac{A_{pitch}}{2} + \frac{A_{intensity}}{4} + \frac{A_{duration}}{4} < m$ |
|--|
| the Emotion Inserter 1 module under test has passed the Conformance Test. |
| Otherwise, the submitter of Emotion Inserter 1 is given the opportunity to |
| submit an implementation of Speech Feature Analyser 1. |
| The MPAI Store will test the combination of the two submitted AIMs. |
| If the quality of the output of the submitted combination of AIM1 and AIM2 |
| is above threshold, Emotion Inserter 1 passes the Conformance Test as long |
| as the corresponding Speech Feature Analyser 1 is made available to the |
| MPAI Store. |
| Else, Emotion Inserter 1 does not pass the Conformance Test. |
| (DS1) Speech |
| |



Figure 4 – EES Emotion Inserter1.

After the Tests, Conformance Tester shall fill out Table 10.

Table 10 – Conformance Testing form of Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Emotion Inserter1

| Conformance Tester ID | Unique Con | formance T | ester Identifier a | ssigned by MPAI |
|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------|
| Standard, Use Case ID and | Standard ID and Use Case ID, Version and Profile of the | | | |
| Version | standard in | the form "C | AE:EES:V:P". | |
| Name of AIM | Emotion Inserter1 | | | |
| Implementer ID | Unique Imp | lementer Ide | entifier assigned | by MPAI Store. |
| AIM Implementation Version | Unique Imp | lementation | Identifier assign | ed by Implementer. |
| Neural Network Version* | Unique Neural Network Identifier assigned by Implementer. | | | |
| Identifier of Conformance | Unique Dataset Identifier assigned by MPAI Store. | | | |
| Testing Dataset | | | | |
| Test ID | Unique Tes | t Identifier a | ssigned by Conf | Formance Tester. |
| | Actual outp all compute | ut provided d Average v | as a matrix of <i>n</i> alues: | +1 rows containing |
| | # | Pitch | Intensity | Duration |
| | 1 | $A_{pitch}[1]$ | $A_{intensity}[1]$ | $A_{duration}[1]$ |
| Actual output | | | | |
| | n | $A_{pitch}[n]$ | $A_{intensity}[n]$ | $A_{duration}[n]$ |
| | Averages | A _{pitch} | A _{intensity} | A _{duration} |
| | Result: <i>Res</i> Threshold: <i>i</i> | m | | |

| | Final evaluation: Passed / Not passed |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Execution time* | Duration of test execution. |
| Test comment* | |
| Test Date | yyyy/mm/dd. |

5.2.4 Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Speech Feature Analyser2 AIM

Table 11 gives the input/output data of the Speech Feature Analyser2 AIM.

Table 11 – I/O Data of Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Speech Feature Analyser2 (AIM)

| AIM | Input Data | Output Data |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------------------------------|
| Speech Feature Analyser2 | Emotionless Speech | Emotionless Speech Features |

Table 12 gives the Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Speech Feature Analyser2 Means (verification procedures) and how they are used.

Table 12 – Means and use of Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Speech Feature Analyser2 AIM

| Means | Actions |
|-------------|---|
| Conform- | DS1: a dataset of at least $y > N$ Emotionless Speech Segments. |
| ance Test- | DS2: a dataset of y Emotion Lists. |
| ing Dataset | DS3: a dataset of one element, specifying the Language in question. |
| | DS4: a dataset of y Speech with Emotion Segments, where each is associated |
| | with specific elements of DS1, DS2, and DS3 used as input, and thus represents |
| | one correct output, given this input. |
| Procedure | Given a reference Emotion Feature Producer (ID: <i>efp</i>), a reference Emotion In- |
| | serter 2 (ID: <i>ei2</i>) and a Speech Feature Analyser 2 module that we want to test, |
| | we measure the quality of Speech Feature Analyser 2 in relation to the reference |
| | modules as follows: |
| | 1. Connect the three modules. |
| | 2. Repeat many times: |
| | a. Select an input set comprised of a DS1 (Emotionless Speech segment), a |
| | DS2 (an Emotion List), and a DS3 (a Language). |
| | b. Feed that set to the system composed by the connected modules. |
| | c. Measure the quality of the Speech with Emotion output generated by the |
| | system by comparing it with the corresponding "correct" result in DS4 as |
| | measured with PESQ [6]. |
| | 3. The quality of Speech Feature Analyser 2 is then the <i>average value</i> of the |
| | multiple quality measurements of 2c. |
| Evaluation | 1. If the <i>average value</i> of the quality measurements is above a threshold greater |
| | than 2.0 as specified by PESQ, Speech Feature Analyser 2 has passed the |
| | Conformance Test. |
| | 2. If the quality is below threshold, the submitter of Speech Feature Analyser 2 |
| | is given the opportunity to submit an implementation of Emotion Feature Pro- |
| | ducer and Emotion Inserter 2. |
| | 3. The MPAI Store will test the combination of the three submitted AIMs. |



Figure 5 - EES path 2.



Figure 6 - EES Speech Feature Analyser2.

After the Tests, Conformance Tester shall fill out *Table 13*.

| Table 13 – Conformance Testing form of Emo | tion Enhanced Speech (EES) Speech Feature Ana- |
|--|--|
| lyse | er2 AIM |

| Conformance Tester ID | Unique Conformance Tester Identifier assigned by MPAI |
|----------------------------|--|
| Standard, Use Case ID and | Standard ID and Use Case ID, Version and Profile of the |
| Version | standard in the form "CAE:EES:1:0". |
| Name of AIM | Speech Feature Analyser2 |
| Implementer ID | Unique Implementer Identifier assigned by MPAI Store. |
| AIM Implementation Version | Unique Implementation Identifier assigned by Implementer. |
| Neural Network Version* | Unique Neural Network Identifier assigned by Implementer. |
| Identifier of Conformance | Unique Dataset Identifier assigned by MPAI Store. |
| Testing Dataset | |
| Test ID | Unique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester. |
| | The Conformance Tester will provide the following matrix |
| | related to the modules utilized for the tests. Denoting with <i>i</i> |
| Actual output | and j , $0 \le i < x$ and $0 \le j < y$, the record number in DS1 |
| | and DS2 respectively, the matrices reflect the results ob- |
| | tained with a limited number of random [<i>i</i> , <i>j</i>] multiple inputs |

| | and the corresponding outputs. | | | |
|-----------------|--|-----------------|----------------------------|--|
| | Example: | | | |
| | DS1 | DS2 | DS4 | Emotion Inserter2 output |
| | | | | value |
| | DS1[<i>i</i>] | DS2[<i>j</i>] | DS4[<i>i</i> , <i>j</i>] | SpeechWithEmotion[<i>i</i> , <i>j</i>] |
| | Language | e: DS3 | | |
| Execution time* | Duration of test execution. | | | |
| | In case step 1 of Conformance Testing fails, the Conform- | | | |
| | ance Tester shall request the implementer to provide an | | | |
| Tost commont* | Emotion Feature Producer AIM (AIM2). | | | |
| Test comment. | In case step 4 or 5 of Conformance Testing also fails, the | | | |
| | Conformance Tester shall inform the implementer that the | | | |
| | Speech Feature Analyser2 (AIM1) did not pass the CT. | | | |
| Test Date | e yyyy/mm/dd. | | | |

5.2.5 Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Emotion Feature Producer

Table 14 gives the input/output data of the Emotion Feature Producer AIM.

Table 14 – I/O Data of Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Emotion Feature Producer AIM

| AIM | Input Data | Output Data |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|
| Emotion Feature Producer | Emotionless Speech Features | Speech Features2 |
| | Emotion List | |
| | Language | |

Table 15 gives the Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Emotion Feature Producer AIM Means and how they are used.

Table 15 – Means and use of Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Emotion Feature Producer AIM

| Means | Actions |
|-------------|---|
| Conform- | DS1: a dataset of at least $y > N$ Emotionless Speech Segments. |
| ance Test- | DS2: a dataset of v Emotion Lists. |
| ing Dataset | DS3: a dataset of one element, specifying the Language in question. |
| 0 | DS4: a dataset of y Speech with Emotion Segments, where each is associated |
| | with specific elements of DS1, DS2, and DS3 used as input, and thus represents |
| | one correct output, given this input. |
| Procedure | Given a reference Speech Feature Analyser 2 (ID: <i>sfa2</i>), a reference Emotion |
| | Inserter 2 (ID: <i>ei2</i>) and an Emotion Feature Producer module that we want to |
| | test, we measure the quality of Emotion Feature Producer in relation to the ref- |
| | erence modules as follows: |
| | 4. Connect the three modules. |
| | 5. Repeat many times: |
| | a. Select an input set comprised of a DS1 (Emotionless Speech segment), a |
| | DS2 (an Emotion List), and a DS3 (a Language). |
| | b. Feed that set to the system composed by the connected modules. |

| | c. Verify that the Speech with Emotion output generated by the system is | | |
|------------|--|--|--|
| | sufficiently close to the corresponding ground truth DS4 as specified by | | |
| | the threshold below. | | |
| | The quality of Emotion Feature Producer is then the <i>average value</i> of the multiple | | |
| | quality measurements of 2c. | | |
| Evaluation | 1. If the <i>average value</i> of the quality measurements is above a specified thresh- | | |
| | old, Emotion Feature Producer has passed the Conformance Test. | | |
| | 2. If the quality is below threshold, the submitter of Emotion Feature Producer | | |
| | is given the opportunity to submit an implementation of Speech Feature An- | | |
| | alyser 2 and Emotion Inserter 2. | | |
| | 3. The MPAI Store will test the combination of the three submitted AIMs. | | |
| | 4. If the quality of the output of the submitted combination is above threshold, | | |
| | Emotion Feature Producer passes the Conformance Test as long as the corre- | | |
| | sponding Speech Feature Analyser 2 and Emotion Inserter 2 are made avail- | | |
| | able to the MPAI Store. | | |
| | 5 Else Emotion Feature Producer doesn't pass the Conformance Test. | | |



Figure 7 – Emotion Feature Producer.

After the Tests, Conformance Tester shall fill out Table 16.

 Table 16 – Conformance Testing form of Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Emotion Feature

 Producer

| Conformance Tester ID | Unique Conformance Tester Identifier assigned by MPAI |
|------------------------------|--|
| Standard, Use Case ID and | Standard ID and Use Case ID, Version and Profile of the |
| Version | standard in the form "CAE:EES:1:0". |
| Name of AIM | Emotion Feature Inserter |
| Implementer ID | Unique Implementer Identifier assigned by MPAI Store. |
| AIM Implementation Version | Unique Implementation Identifier assigned by Implementer. |
| Neural Network Version* | Unique Neural Network Identifier assigned by Implementer. |
| Identifier of Conformance | Unique Dataset Identifier assigned by MPAI Store. |
| Testing Dataset | |
| Test ID | Unique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester. |
| A stual output | The Conformance Tester will provide the following matrix |
| Actual output | related to the modules utilized for the tests. Denoting with i |

| | and $j, 0 \le i < x$ and $0 \le j < y$, the record number in DS1 and DS2 respectively, the matrices reflect the results ob- tained with a limited number of random $[i, j]$ multiple inputs and the corresponding outputs. Example: | | | |
|-----------------|--|-----------------|----------------------------|--|
| | DS1 DS2 DS4 Emotion Inserter2 output value | | | |
| | DS1[<i>i</i>] | DS2[<i>j</i>] | DS4[<i>i</i> , <i>j</i>] | SpeechWithEmotion[<i>i</i> , <i>j</i>] |
| | Language | e: DS3 | | |
| Execution time* | Duration of test execution. | | | |
| Test comment* | In case step 1 of Conformance Testing fails, the Conform- ance Tester shall request the implementer to provide a Speech Feature Analyser2 and Emotion Inserter2 AIMs. In case step 4 or 5 of Conformance Testing also fails, the Conformance Tester shall inform the implementer that the Emotion Feature Producer did not pass the CT. | | | |
| Test Date | yyyy/mm/dd. | | | |

5.2.6 Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Emotion Inserter2

Table 17 gives the input/output data of the Emotion Inserter2 AIM.

Table 17 – I/O Data of Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Emotion Inserter2

| AIM | Input Data | Output Data |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| Emotion Inserter2 | Emotionless Speech | Speech with Emotion |
| | Speech Features2 | |

Table 18 gives the Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Emotion Inserter2 Means and how they are used.

| Table 18 – AIM Means | and use of Emotion | Enhanced Speech | (EES) Emotion | Inserter2 |
|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|
|----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------|-----------|

| Means | Actions | |
|-------------|---|--|
| Conform- | DS1: a dataset of at least $y > N$ Emotionless Speech Segments. | |
| ance Test- | DS2: a dataset of y Emotion Lists. | |
| ing Dataset | DS3: a dataset of one element, specifying the Language in question. | |
| | DS4: a dataset of y Speech with Emotion Segments, where each is associated | |
| | with specific elements of DS1, DS2, and DS3 used as input, and thus represents | |
| | one correct output, given this input. | |
| Procedure | Given a reference Speech Feature Analyser 2 (ID: sfa2), a reference Emotion | |
| | Feature Producer (ID: efp) and an Emotion Inserter 2 module that we want to | |
| | test, we measure the quality of Emotion Inserter 2 in relation to the reference | |
| | modules as follows: | |
| | 1. Connect the three modules. | |
| | 2. Repeat many times: | |
| | a. Select an input set comprised of a DS1 (Emotionless Speech segment), a | |
| | DS2 (an Emotion List), and a DS3 (a Language). | |
| | b. Feed that set to the system composed by the connected modules. | |

| | c. Measure the quality of the Speech with Emotion output generated by the system by comparing it with the corresponding "correct" result in DS4 as measured by PESQ [6]. 3. The quality of Emotion Inserter 2 is then the <i>average value</i> of the multiple quality measurements of 2c. |
|------------|---|
| Evaluation | If the <i>average value</i> of the quality measurements is above a threshold above 2.0 as specified by PESQ, Emotion Inserter 2 has passed the Conformance Test. If the quality is below threshold, the submitter of Emotion Inserter 2 is given the opportunity to submit an implementation of Speech Feature Analyser 2 and Emotion Feature Producer. The MPAI Store will test the combination of the three submitted AIMs. If the quality of the output of the submitted combination is above threshold, Emotion Inserter 2 passes the Conformance Test as long as the corresponding Speech Feature Analyser 2 and Emotion Feature Producer. Else, Emotion Inserter 2 doesn't pass the Conformance Test. |



Figure 8 – Emotion Inserter2.

After the Tests, Conformance Tester shall fill out Table 19.

Table 19 – Conformance Testing form of Emotion Enhanced Speech (EES) Emotion Inserter2

| Conformance Tester ID | Unique Conformance Tester Identifier assigned by MPAI |
|----------------------------|---|
| Standard, Use Case ID and | Standard ID and Use Case ID, Version and Profile of the |
| Version | standard in the form "CAE:EES:V:P". |
| Name of AIM | Emotion Inserter2 |
| Implementer ID | Unique Implementer Identifier assigned by MPAI Store. |
| AIM Implementation Version | Unique Implementation Identifier assigned by Implementer. |
| Neural Network Version* | Unique Neural Network Identifier assigned by Implementer. |
| Identifier of Conformance | Unique Dataset Identifier assigned by MPAI Store. |
| Testing Dataset | |
| Test ID | Unique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester. |
| Actual output | The Conformance Tester will provide the following matrix |
| Actual output | related to the modules utilized for the tests. Denoting with <i>i</i> |

| | and j , $0 \le i < x$ and $0 \le j < y$, the record number in DS1 and DS2 respectively, the matrices reflect the results ob- tained with a limited number of random $[i, j]$ multiple inputs and the corresponding outputs. Example: | | | |
|-----------------|--|--------|----------------------------|--|
| | DS1 | DS2 | DS4 | Emotion Inserter2 output value |
| | DS1[<i>i</i>] | DS2[j] | DS4[<i>i</i> , <i>j</i>] | SpeechWithEmotion[<i>i</i> , <i>j</i>] |
| | Language | e: DS3 | | |
| Execution time* | Duration of test execution. | | | |
| Test comment* | In case step 1 of Conformance Testing fails, the Conform- ance Tester shall request the implementer to provide a Speech Feature Analyser2 and Emotion Feature Producer AIMs. In case step 4 or 5 of Conformance Testing also fails, the Conformance Tester shall inform the implementer that the Emotion Inserter2 did not pass the CT | | | |
| Test Date | yyyy/mm | n/dd. | | |

5.3 Audio Recording Preservation (ARP)

The normative Architecture of the Audio Recording Preservation (CAE-ARP) is given by *Figure* 9.



Figure 9 – Reference Model of Audio Recording Preservation (CAE-ARP).

The input/output data format are specified in 5.1.2 [3].

Table 20 shows the acronyms of ARP.

Table 20 – List of ARP acronyms.

| Acronym | Meaning |
|---------|----------------|
| В | Brands on tape |

| DA | Damaged tape |
|------|---------------------------------|
| DI | Dirt |
| EOT | Ends Of Tape |
| ESV | Equalization Standard Variation |
| М | Marks |
| PPS | Play, Pause and Stop |
| PSD | Power Spectral Density |
| RMSE | Root Mean Square Error |
| S | Shadows |
| SB | Signal Backward |
| SOT | Start Of Tape |
| SP | Splice |
| SSV | Speed Standard Variation |
| WF | Wow and Flutter |

5.3.1 Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) AIMs

Table 21 gives the AIMs and the input/output data of Audio Recording Preservation (ARP).

| AIM | Input Data | Output Data |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Audio Analyser | Preservation Audio File | Audio Files |
| | Preservation Audio-Visual File | Irregularity File |
| | Irregularity File | |
| Video analyser | Preservation Audio-Visual File | Irregularity File |
| | Irregularity File | Irregularity Images |
| Tape Irregularity | Audio Files | Irregularity File |
| classifier | Irregularity Images | Irregularity Images |
| | Irregularity File | |
| Tape Audio Restoration | Irregularity File | Editing List |
| | Preservation Audio File | Restored Audio Files |
| Packager | Preservation Audio File | Access Copy Files |
| | Restored Audio Files | Preservation Master Files |
| | Editing List | |
| | Irregularity File | |
| | Irregularity Images | |
| | Preservation Audio-Visual File | |

Table 21 – AIMs and their I/O data of Audio Recording Preservation (ARP).

5.3.2 Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Audio Analyser

Table 22 gives the input/output data of the Audio Analyser.

Table 22 – I/O Data of Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Audio Analyser.

| AIM | Input Data | Output Data |
|----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| Audio Analyser | Preservation Audio File | Audio Files |
| | Preservation Audio-Visual File | Irregularity File |
| | Irregularity File | |

Table 23 gives the Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Audio Analyser Means and how they are used.

| Means | Actions | |
|--------------|--|--|
| Conform- | DS1: <i>n</i> * Preservation Audio Files. | |
| ance Testing | DS2: <i>n</i> Preservation Audio-Visual Files related to DS1. | |
| Dataset | DS3: <i>n</i> Irregularity Files related to DS2. | |
| | DS4: <i>n</i> output Irregularity Files in the format of port IrregularityFileOutput_1 | |
| | with all Irregularities correctly identified. | |
| | DS5: <i>n</i> output Irregularity Files in the format of port IrregularityFileOutput_2 | |
| | with the real offset and all Irregularities correctly identified and included from | |
| | DS3. | |
| | | |
| | * A reasonable n for testing is 5 <n<=10, each="" file="" generates="" irregularities="" multiple="" since="" th="" to<=""></n<=10,> | |
| Duccoderac | classify | |
| Procedure | 1. Feed Audio Analyser under lest with DS1, DS2 and DS3. | |
| | 2. Compare the computed offsets with the ones contained in DS5. | |
| | 3. Analyse the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1. | |
| F | 4. Analyse the irregularity files resulting from port irregularity fileOutput_2. | |
| Evaluation | 1. verify the conditions: | |
| | a. The irregularity files are syntactically correct and conforming to the | |
| | JSON schema provided in CAE Technical Specification. | |
| | b. All irregularities from DS3 are included in the irregularity Files coming | |
| | [1000] | |
| | c. $ 0 _{c} - O_{r} < 3 \times \left \frac{1000}{FPS_{DS3}}\right ms$, where O_{c} is the offset computed by the | |
| | Audio Analyser under test, O_r is the real offset and FPS _{DS3} is the number | |
| | d All output Audio Eilos are conforming to DE64 file format [7] | |
| | a. An output Audio Files are comorning to KF04 me format [7]. | |
| | e. For each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, the output Audio Files are ex- | |
| | Labels indicated in the Irregularity File coming from port Irregulari | |
| | tyFileOutput 2 | |
| | 2 By inspecting the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOut- | |
| | put 1 for each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records compute the values of Recall | |
| | (R) and Precision (P) | |
| | 3 Compute the average value of Recall (\tilde{R}) and Precision (\tilde{P}) measures ob- | |
| | tained at point 2. | |
| | 4. Accept the AIM under test if: | |
| | $\tilde{R} > 0.9$ | |
| | b. $\tilde{P} > 0.9$ | |

Table 23 – AIM Means and use of Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Audio Analyser.



Figure 10 – Audio Analyser.

After the Tests, Conformance Tester shall fill out Table 24.

Table 24 – Conformance Testing form of Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Audio Analyser.

| Conformance Tester ID | Unique Conforma | ance Tester Identi | fier assigned by MPAI | |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Standard, Use Case ID and | Standard ID and Use Case ID, Version and Profile of the | | | |
| Version | standard in the fo | rm "CAE:ARP:1: | 0". | |
| Name of AIM | Audio Analyser | | | |
| Implementer ID | Unique Implement | nter Identifier assi | gned by MPAI Store. | |
| AIM Implementation Version | Unique Implemen | ntation Identifier a | ssigned by Implementer. | |
| Neural Network Version* | Unique Neural N | etwork Identifier a | ssigned by Implementer. | |
| Identifier of Conformance | Unique Dataset I | Unique Dataset Identifier assigned by MPAI Store. | | |
| Testing Dataset | | | | |
| Test ID | Unique Test Iden | tifier assigned by | Conformance Tester. | |
| | | | | |
| | Actual output pro | ovided as a matrix | of <i>n</i> rows containing <i>R</i> | |
| | Actual output proat and <i>P</i> values. | ovided as a matrix | of <i>n</i> rows containing <i>R</i> | |
| | Actual output pro and <i>P</i> values. | ovided as a matrix | of <i>n</i> rows containing <i>R</i> | |
| Actual output | Actual output pro and <i>P</i> values. | ovided as a matrix | of <i>n</i> rows containing <i>R</i> | |
| Actual output | Actual output pro and <i>P</i> values. | ovided as a matrix R Measure 1 | of <i>n</i> rows containing <i>R</i> P Measure 1 | |
| Actual output | Actual output pro and <i>P</i> values. | wided as a matrix <i>R</i> Measure 1 | of <i>n</i> rows containing <i>R P</i> Measure 1 | |
| Actual output | Actual output pro and P values. | R Measure 1 Measure n | of <i>n</i> rows containing <i>R P</i> Measure 1 Measure n | |
| Actual output Execution time* | Actual output pro and <i>P</i> values. Tuple # 1 <i>n</i> Duration of test e | R Measure 1 Measure n execution. | of n rows containing R P Measure 1Measure n | |
| Actual output Execution time* Test comment* | Actual output pro and <i>P</i> values. Tuple # 1 <i>n</i> Duration of test e | R Measure 1 Measure n execution. | of <i>n</i> rows containing <i>R P</i> Measure 1 Measure n | |

* Optional field

5.3.3 Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Video Analyser

Table 25 gives the input/output data of the Video Analyser.

Table 25 – I/O Data of Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Video Analyser.

| AIM | Input Data | Output Data |
|----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| Video Analyser | Preservation Audio-Visual File | Irregularity File |
| | Irregularity File | Irregularity Images |

Table 26 gives the Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) *Video Analyser* Means and how they are used.

| Conform- ance Test- ing DatasetDS1: n Preservation Audio-Visual Files. DS2: n Irregularity Files related to the Preservation Audio File related to DS1. DS3: n output Irregularity Files in the format of port IrregularityFileOutput_1 with all Irregularities correctly identified. DS4: n output Irregularity Files in the format of port IrregularityFileOutput_2 with all Irregularities correctly identified and included from DS3.Procedure1.Feed Video Analyser under test with DS1 and DS2. 2. Analyse the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1. 3. Analyse the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_2.Evaluation1.Verify the conditions: a. The Irregularity Files are syntactically correct and conforming to the JSON schema provided in CAE Technical Specification. b. All Irregularity FileOutput_2.C. All output Irregularity FileOutput_2. c. All output Irregularity FileOutput_2.C. All output Irregularity FileOutput_2. c. c. All output Irregularity FileOutput_2.Quality File at the Time Labels indicated in the Irregularity Files coming from port IrregularityFileOutput_2.2.2.By inspecting the Irregularity Files resulting from port Irregularity FileOutput_1. (f) and Precision (P).3.Compute the average value of Recall (\tilde{R}) and Precision (\tilde{P}) measures obtained at point 2.4.Accept the AIM under test if: a. $\tilde{R} > 0.9$ b. | Means | Actions |
|--|-------------|---|
| ance Test- ing DatasetDS2: n Irregularity Files related to the Preservation Audio File related to DS1. DS3: n output Irregularity Files in the format of port IrregularityFileOutput_1 with all Irregularities correctly identified. DS4: n output Irregularity Files in the format of port IrregularityFileOutput_2 with all Irregularities correctly identified and included from DS3.Procedure1. Feed Video Analyser under test with DS1 and DS2. 2. Analyse the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1. 3. Analyse the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_2.Evaluation1. Verify the conditions: a. The Irregularity Files are syntactically correct and conforming to the JSON schema provided in CAE Technical Specification. b. All IrregularityFileOutput_2.d. All output Irregularity Files are conforming to the JPEG standard [8]. d. For each of the n tuples of input records, the output IrregularityFileOutput_1. 2. By inspecting the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_2.2. By inspecting the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. a. Compute the average value of Recall (\tilde{R}) and Precision (\tilde{P}) measures obtained at point 2.3. Compute the average value of Recall (\tilde{R}) and Precision (\tilde{P}) measures obtained at point 2.4. Accept the AIM under test if: a. $\tilde{R} > 0.9$ b. $\tilde{R} > 0.0$ | Conform- | DS1: <i>n</i> Preservation Audio-Visual Files. |
| ing Dataset DS3: n output Irregularity Files in the format of port IrregularityFileOutput_1 with all Irregularities correctly identified. DS4: n output Irregularity Files in the format of port IrregularityFileOutput_2 with all Irregularities correctly identified and included from DS3. Procedure 1. Feed Video Analyser under test with DS1 and DS2. 2. Analyse the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1. 3. Analyse the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. Evaluation 1. Verify the conditions: a. The Irregularity Files are syntactically correct and conforming to the JSON schema provided in CAE Technical Specification. b. All IrregularityFileOutput_2. c. All output Irregularity Images are conforming to the JPEG standard [8]. d. For each of the n tuples of input records, the output IrregularityFileOutput_1. a. The Time Labels indicated in the Irregularity Files coming from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. 2. By inspecting the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1. 3. Compute the average value of Recall (\$\tilde{R}\$) and Precision (\$\tilde{P}\$) measures obtained at point 2. 4. Accept the AIM under test if: a. \$\tilde{R}\$ > 0.9 b. \$\tilde{R}\$ | ance Test- | DS2: <i>n</i> Irregularity Files related to the Preservation Audio File related to DS1. |
| with all Irregularities correctly identified. DS4: n output Irregularity Files in the format of port IrregularityFileOutput_2 with all Irregularities correctly identified and included from DS3. Procedure Feed Video Analyser under test with DS1 and DS2. Analyse the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1. Analyse the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. Evaluation Verify the conditions: The Irregularity Files are syntactically correct and conforming to the JSON schema provided in CAE Technical Specification. All Irregularities from DS2 are included in the Irregularity Files resulting from port Irregularity Images are extracted from the corresponding input Preservation Audio-Visual File at the Time Labels indicated in the Irregularity FileOutput_1. By inspecting the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1. Compute the average value of Recall (<i>R̃</i>) and Precision (<i>P̃</i>) measures obtained at point 2. Accept the AIM under test if: <i>R̃</i> > 0.9 <i>R̃</i> > 0.9 | ing Dataset | DS3: <i>n</i> output Irregularity Files in the format of port IrregularityFileOutput_1 |
| DS4: <i>n</i> output Irregularity Files in the format of port IrregularityFileOutput_2 with all Irregularities correctly identified and included from DS3. Procedure Feed Video Analyser under test with DS1 and DS2. Analyse the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1. Analyse the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. Evaluation Verify the conditions: The Irregularity Files are syntactically correct and conforming to the JSON schema provided in CAE Technical Specification. All Irregularities from DS2 are included in the Irregularity Files resulting from port Irregularity Files are conforming to the JPEG standard [8]. For each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, the output Irregularity Images are extracted from the corresponding input Preservation Audio-Visual File at the Time Labels indicated in the Irregularity FileOutput_1. By inspecting the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1. Compute the average value of Recall (<i>R̃</i>) and Precision (<i>P̃</i>) measures obtained at point 2. Accept the AIM under test if: <i>R̃</i> > 0.9 <i>R̃</i> > 0.9 | | with all Irregularities correctly identified. |
| with all Irregularities correctly identified and included from DS3. Procedure Feed Video Analyser under test with DS1 and DS2. Analyse the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1. Analyse the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. Evaluation Verify the conditions: The Irregularity Files are syntactically correct and conforming to the JSON schema provided in CAE Technical Specification. All Irregularities from DS2 are included in the Irregularity Files resulting from port Irregularity Files resulting from port Irregularity Files are conforming to the JPEG standard [8]. For each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, the output Irregularity Images are extracted from the corresponding input Preservation Audio-Visual File at the Time Labels indicated in the Irregularity FileOutput_1, for each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, compute the values of Recall (<i>R</i>) and Precision (<i>P</i>). Compute the average value of Recall (<i>R̃</i>) and Precision (<i>P̃</i>) measures obtained at point 2. Accept the AIM under test if: <i>R̃</i> > 0.9 <i>R̃</i> > 0.0 | | DS4: <i>n</i> output Irregularity Files in the format of port IrregularityFileOutput_2 |
| Procedure Feed Video Analyser under test with DS1 and DS2. Analyse the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1. Analyse the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. Evaluation Verify the conditions: The Irregularity Files are syntactically correct and conforming to the JSON schema provided in CAE Technical Specification. All Irregularities from DS2 are included in the Irregularity Files resulting from port Irregularity FileOutput_2. All output IrregularityFileOutput_2. All output Irregularity Images are conforming to the JPEG standard [8]. For each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, the output Irregularity Images are extracted from the corresponding input Preservation Audio-Visual File at the Time Labels indicated in the IrregularityFileOutput_1. for each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, compute the values of Recall (<i>R</i>) and Precision (<i>P</i>). Compute the average value of Recall (<i>R̃</i>) and Precision (<i>P̃</i>) measures obtained at point 2. Accept the AIM under test if: <i>R̃</i> > 0.9 <i>R̃</i> > 0.0 | | with all Irregularities correctly identified and included from DS3. |
| Analyse the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1. Analyse the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. Evaluation Verify the conditions: | Procedure | 1. Feed Video Analyser under test with DS1 and DS2. |
| 3. Analyse the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. Evaluation Verify the conditions: a. The Irregularity Files are syntactically correct and conforming to the JSON schema provided in CAE Technical Specification. b. All Irregularities from DS2 are included in the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. c. All output Irregularity Images are conforming to the JPEG standard [8]. d. For each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, the output Irregularity Images are extracted from the corresponding input Preservation Audio-Visual File at the Time Labels indicated in the IrregularityFileOutput_1, for each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, compute the values of Recall (<i>R</i>) and Precision (<i>P</i>). Compute the average value of Recall (<i>R</i>) and Precision (<i>P</i>) measures obtained at point 2. Accept the AIM under test if: a. <i>R</i> > 0.9 b. <i>O</i> | | 2. Analyse the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1. |
| Evaluation Verify the conditions: a. The Irregularity Files are syntactically correct and conforming to the JSON schema provided in CAE Technical Specification. b. All Irregularities from DS2 are included in the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. c. All output Irregularity Images are conforming to the JPEG standard [8]. d. For each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, the output Irregularity Images are extracted from the corresponding input Preservation Audio-Visual File at the Time Labels indicated in the Irregularity Files coming from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. By inspecting the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1, for each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, compute the values of Recall (<i>R</i>) and Precision (<i>P</i>). Compute the average value of Recall (<i>R</i>) and Precision (<i>P</i>) measures obtained at point 2. Accept the AIM under test if: a. <i>R</i> > 0.9 b. <i>R</i> > 0.9 | | 3. Analyse the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. |
| a. The Irregularity Files are syntactically correct and conforming to the JSON schema provided in CAE Technical Specification. b. All Irregularities from DS2 are included in the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. c. All output Irregularity Images are conforming to the JPEG standard [8]. d. For each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, the output Irregularity Images are extracted from the corresponding input Preservation Audio-Visual File at the Time Labels indicated in the Irregularity Files coming from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. 2. By inspecting the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1, for each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, compute the values of Recall (<i>R</i>) and Precision (<i>P</i>). 3. Compute the average value of Recall (<i>R̃</i>) and Precision (<i>P̃</i>) measures obtained at point 2. 4. Accept the AIM under test if: a. <i>R̃</i> > 0.9 b. <i>A</i> | Evaluation | 1. Verify the conditions: |
| JSON schema provided in CAE Technical Specification. b. All Irregularities from DS2 are included in the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. c. All output Irregularity Images are conforming to the JPEG standard [8]. d. For each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, the output Irregularity Images are extracted from the corresponding input Preservation Audio-Visual File at the Time Labels indicated in the Irregularity Files coming from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. 2. By inspecting the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1, for each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, compute the values of Recall (<i>R</i>) and Precision (<i>P</i>). 3. Compute the average value of Recall (<i>R</i>) and Precision (<i>P</i>) measures obtained at point 2. 4. Accept the AIM under test if: a. <i>R</i> > 0.9 b. <i>R</i> = 0.0 | | a. The Irregularity Files are syntactically correct and conforming to the |
| b. All Irregularities from DS2 are included in the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. c. All output Irregularity Images are conforming to the JPEG standard [8]. d. For each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, the output Irregularity Images are extracted from the corresponding input Preservation Audio-Visual File at the Time Labels indicated in the Irregularity Files coming from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. 2. By inspecting the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1, for each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, compute the values of Recall (<i>R</i>) and Precision (<i>P</i>). 3. Compute the average value of Recall (<i>R</i>) and Precision (<i>P</i>) measures obtained at point 2. 4. Accept the AIM under test if: a. <i>R</i> > 0.9 b. <i>R</i> > 0.0 | | JSON schema provided in CAE Technical Specification. |
| from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. c. All output Irregularity Images are conforming to the JPEG standard [8]. d. For each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, the output Irregularity Images are extracted from the corresponding input Preservation Audio-Visual File at the Time Labels indicated in the Irregularity Files coming from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. 2. By inspecting the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1, for each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, compute the values of Recall (<i>R</i>) and Precision (<i>P</i>). 3. Compute the average value of Recall (<i>R̃</i>) and Precision (<i>P̃</i>) measures obtained at point 2. 4. Accept the AIM under test if: a. <i>R̃</i> > 0.9 b. <i>Q̃</i> > 0.9 | | b. All Irregularities from DS2 are included in the Irregularity Files resulting |
| c. All output Irregularity Images are conforming to the JPEG standard [8]. d. For each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, the output Irregularity Images are extracted from the corresponding input Preservation Audio-Visual File at the Time Labels indicated in the Irregularity Files coming from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. 2. By inspecting the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1, for each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, compute the values of Recall (<i>R</i>) and Precision (<i>P</i>). 3. Compute the average value of Recall (<i>R̃</i>) and Precision (<i>P̃</i>) measures obtained at point 2. 4. Accept the AIM under test if: a. <i>R̃</i> > 0.9 b. <i>Q̃</i> > 0.0 | | from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. |
| d. For each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, the output Irregularity Images are extracted from the corresponding input Preservation Audio-Visual File at the Time Labels indicated in the Irregularity Files coming from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. 2. By inspecting the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1, for each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, compute the values of Recall (<i>R</i>) and Precision (<i>P</i>). 3. Compute the average value of Recall (<i>R</i>) and Precision (<i>P</i>) measures obtained at point 2. 4. Accept the AIM under test if: a. <i>R</i> > 0.9 b. <i>Q</i> > 0.9 | | c. All output Irregularity Images are conforming to the JPEG standard [8]. |
| are extracted from the corresponding input Preservation Audio-Visual File at the Time Labels indicated in the Irregularity Files coming from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. By inspecting the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1, for each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, compute the values of Recall (<i>R</i>) and Precision (<i>P</i>). Compute the average value of Recall (<i>R̃</i>) and Precision (<i>P̃</i>) measures obtained at point 2. Accept the AIM under test if: a. <i>R̃</i> > 0.9 b. <i>Q̃</i> > 0.0 | | d. For each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, the output Irregularity Images |
| File at the Time Labels indicated in the Irregularity Files coming from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. 2. By inspecting the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1, for each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, compute the values of Recall (<i>R</i>) and Precision (<i>P</i>). 3. Compute the average value of Recall (<i>R̃</i>) and Precision (<i>P̃</i>) measures obtained at point 2. 4. Accept the AIM under test if: a. <i>R̃</i> > 0.9 b. <i>Q̃</i> > 0.0 | | are extracted from the corresponding input Preservation Audio-Visual |
| port IrregularityFileOutput_2. 2. By inspecting the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1, for each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, compute the values of Recall (<i>R</i>) and Precision (<i>P</i>). 3. Compute the average value of Recall (<i>R̃</i>) and Precision (<i>P̃</i>) measures obtained at point 2. 4. Accept the AIM under test if: a. <i>R̃</i> > 0.9 b. <i>Q̃</i> > 0.0 | | File at the Time Labels indicated in the Irregularity Files coming from |
| By inspecting the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1, for each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, compute the values of Recall (<i>R</i>) and Precision (<i>P</i>). Compute the average value of Recall (<i>R̃</i>) and Precision (<i>P̃</i>) measures obtained at point 2. Accept the AIM under test if: <i>R̃</i> > 0.9 <i>R̃</i> > 0.0 | | port IrregularityFileOutput_2. |
| put_1, for each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, compute the values of Recall (<i>R</i>) and Precision (<i>P</i>). Compute the average value of Recall (<i>R̃</i>) and Precision (<i>P̃</i>) measures obtained at point 2. Accept the AIM under test if: a. <i>R̃</i> > 0.9 b. <i>Q̃</i> > 0.0 | | 2. By inspecting the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOut- |
| (<i>R</i>) and Precision (<i>P</i>). 3. Compute the average value of Recall (<i>R̃</i>) and Precision (<i>P̃</i>) measures obtained at point 2. 4. Accept the AIM under test if: a. <i>R̃</i> > 0.9 b. <i>Q̃</i> > 0.0 | | put_1, for each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, compute the values of Recall |
| 3. Compute the average value of Recall (R) and Precision (P) measures obtained at point 2. 4. Accept the AIM under test if: a. \$\tilde{R}\$ > 0.9 b. \$\tilde{D}\$ > 0.0 | | (<i>R</i>) and Precision (<i>P</i>). |
| at point 2. 4. Accept the AIM under test if: a. $\tilde{R} > 0.9$ b. $\tilde{R} > 0.9$ | | 3. Compute the average value of Recall (R) and Precision (P) measures obtained |
| 4. Accept the AIM under test if: a. $\tilde{R} > 0.9$ | | at point 2. |
| a. $R > 0.9$ | | 4. Accept the AIM under test if: |
| | | a. $R > 0.9$ |
| 0. P > 0.9 | | b. $P > 0.9$ |

Table 26 – AIM Means and use of Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Video Analyser.



Figure 11 – Video Analyser.

After the Tests, Conformance Tester shall fill out Table 27.

| Conformance Tester ID | Unique Conforma | ance Tester Identif | ier assigned by MPAI |
|---|---|--|--|
| Standard, Use Case ID and | Standard ID and Use Case ID, Version and Profile of the | | |
| Version | standard in the fo | rm "CAE:ARP:1:0 |)". |
| Name of AIM | Video Analyser | | |
| Implementer ID | Unique Implemen | nter Identifier assig | gned by MPAI Store. |
| AIM Implementation Version | Unique Implemer | tation Identifier as | signed by Implementer. |
| Neural Network Version* | Unique Neural Ne | etwork Identifier as | ssigned by Implementer. |
| Identifier of Conformance | Unique Dataset Id | lentifier assigned b | by MPAI Store. |
| Testing Dataset | | | |
| Test ID | Unique Test Iden | tifier assigned by (| Conformance Tester. |
| | | | |
| | Actual output pro and <i>P</i> values. | vided as a matrix of | of <i>n</i> rows containing <i>R</i> |
| Actual output | Actual output pro and <i>P</i> values. | vided as a matrix o | of <i>n</i> rows containing <i>R</i> |
| Actual output | Actual output pro and <i>P</i> values. Tuple # | vided as a matrix o R Measure 1 | of <i>n</i> rows containing <i>R</i> P Measure 1 |
| Actual output | Actual output pro and <i>P</i> values. Tuple # 1 | Vided as a matrix of R R Measure 1 | of <i>n</i> rows containing <i>R</i> |
| Actual output | Actual output pro and <i>P</i> values. Tuple # 1 <i>n</i> | R Measure 1 Measure n | of n rows containing R $ \begin{array}{c} P\\ Measure 1\\ \dots\\ Measure n\end{array} $ |
| Actual output Execution time* | Actual output pro and P values. Tuple # 1 n Duration of test e | R Measure 1 Measure n xecution. | of <i>n</i> rows containing <i>R</i> |
| Actual output Execution time* Test comment* | Actual output pro and <i>P</i> values. Tuple # 1 <i>n</i> Duration of test e | R Measure 1 Measure n xecution. | of n rows containing R $ \begin{array}{c} P\\ Measure 1\\ \dots\\ Measure n\end{array} $ |

Table 27 – Conformance Testing form of Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Video Analyser.

5.3.4 Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Tape Irregularity Classifier

Table 28 gives the input/output data of the Tape Irregularity Classifier.

Table 28 – I/O Data of Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Tape Irregularity Classifier.

| AIM | Input Data | Output Data |
|------------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| Tape Irregularity Classifier | Audio Files | Irregularity File |
| | Irregularity Images | Irregularity Images |
| | Irregularity File | |

Table 29 gives the Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) *Tape Irregularity Classifier* Means and how they are used.

Table 29 – AIM Means and use of Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Tape Irregularity Classifier.

| Means | Actions |
|-------------|---|
| Conform- | DS1: <i>n</i> Irregularity Files from Audio Analyser. |
| ance Test- | DS2: <i>n</i> Audio Files related to DS1. |
| ing Dataset | DS3: <i>n</i> Irregularity Files from Video Analyser. |
| | DS4: <i>n</i> Irregularity Images related to DS3. |
| | DS5: <i>n</i> output Irregularity Files in the format of port IrregularityFileOutput_1, |
| | containing correctly classified Irregularities. |
| | DS6: <i>n</i> output Irregularity Files in the format of port IrregularityFileOutput_2, |
| | containing correctly classified Irregularities. |

| Procedure | 1. Feed Tape Irregularity Classifier under test with DS1, DS2, DS3 and DS4. |
|------------|---|
| | 2. Analyse the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1. |
| | 3. Analyse the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_2. |
| Evaluation | 1. Verify the conditions: |
| | a. The Irregularity Files are syntactically correct and conforming to the |
| | JSON schema provided in CAE Technical Specification. |
| | b. The Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOutput_1 con- |
| | tain only Irregularities of interest for the Tape Audio Restoration (i.e., |
| | Irregularities with IrregularityType SSV, ESV or SB). |
| | c. All output Irregularity Images are conforming to the JPEG standard [8]. |
| | d. For each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, the output Irregularity Images |
| | are equal to the input Irregularity Images corresponding to the Time La- |
| | bels indicated in the Irregularity Files coming from port Irregulari- |
| | tyFileOutput_2. |
| | 2. By inspecting the Irregularity Files resulting from port IrregularityFileOut- |
| | put_1, for each of the <i>n</i> tuples of input records, compute the values of Recall |
| | (R) and Precision (P) for each of the 13 labels of IrregularityType defined in |
| | Tables 17 and 18 of [3]. |
| | 3. For each label <i>l</i> of IrregularityType, compute the average value of Recall (\hat{R}) |
| | and Precision (\hat{P}) measures obtained at point 2. |
| | 4. Compute the average value of Recall (\tilde{R}) and Precision (\tilde{P}) measures ob- |
| | tained at point 3. |
| | 5. Accept the AIM under test if: |
| | a. $\tilde{R} > 0.9$ |
| | b. $\tilde{P} > 0.9$ |
| | |
| | |



Figure 12 – Tape Irregularity Classifier.

After the Tests, Conformance Tester shall fill out Table 30.

Table 30 – Conformance Testing form of Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Tape Irregularity Classifier.

| Conformance Tester ID | Unique Co | onformance T | Tester Identifier as | signed by MPAI | |
|----------------------------|--|-----------------|--|-------------------|--|
| Standard, Use Case ID and | Standard ID and Use Case ID, Version and Profile of the | | | | |
| Version | standard ir | the form "C | CAE:ARP:1:0". | | |
| Name of AIM | Tape Irreg | ularity Class | ifier | | |
| Implementer ID | Unique Im | plementer Id | lentifier assigned b | y MPAI Store. | |
| AIM Implementation Version | Unique Im | plementatior | n Identifier assigne | d by Implementer. | |
| Neural Network Version* | Unique Ne | ural Networl | Identifier assigne | d by Implementer. | |
| Identifier of Conformance | Unique Da | taset Identifi | er assigned by MF | PAI Store. | |
| Testing Dataset | | | | | |
| Test ID | Unique Te | st Identifier a | assigned by Confo | rmance Tester. | |
| | Actual output provided as a matrix of <i>n</i> rows containing <i>R</i> and <i>P</i> values. | | | | |
| | Tuple # | Label | R | Р | |
| | 1 | SP | Measure 1 | Measure 1 | |
| Actual output | | | | | |
| Actual output | 1 | SB | Measure <i>l</i> | Measure <i>l</i> | |
| | | | | | |
| | п | SP | Measure | Measure | |
| | | | (n-1) * l + 1 | (n-1) * l + 1 | |
| | | ••• | ••• | ••• | |
| | n | SB | Measure $n * l$ | Measure $n * l$ | |
| Execution time* | * Duration of test execution. | | | | |
| Test comment* | - | | | | |
| Test Date | e yyyy/mm/dd. | | | | |

5.3.5 Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Tape Audio Restoration

Table 31 gives the input/output data of the Tape Audio Restoration.

Table 31 – I/O Data of Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Tape Audio Restoration.

| AIM | Input Data | Output Data | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Tape Audio Restoration | Irregularity File | Editing List | |
| | Preservation Audio File | Restored Audio Files | |

Table 32 gives the Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) *Tape Audio Restoration* Means and how they are used.

Table 32 – AIM Means and use of Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Tape Audio Restoration.

| Means | Actions |
|-------------|---|
| Conform- | DS1: <i>n</i> Preservation Audio Files created with (i) SB, (ii) SSV or (iii) ESV errors. |
| ance Test- | These kinds of errors can occur singularly or superimposed one over another. |
| ing Dataset | DS2: <i>n</i> Irregularity Files related to DS1 coming from Tape Irregularity Classifier. |

| | DS3: <i>n</i> Restored Audio Files arrays containing the corrected files generated from |
|------------|---|
| | DS1 with the information contained in DS2. |
| | DS4: <i>n</i> Editing Lists JSONs containing the edits made in DS3. |
| Procedure | 1. Feed Tape Audio Restoration under test with DS1 and DS2. |
| | 2. Compare the output Editing Lists with DS4. |
| | 3. Compare the samples of output Restored Audio Files with DS3 for SB and |
| | SSV correction evaluation. |
| | 4. Compare the samples and the spectral content of output Restored Audio Files |
| | with DS3 for ESV correction evaluation. |
| Evaluation | 1. Verify the conditions: |
| | a. The Editing Lists are syntactically correct and conforming to the JSON |
| | schema provided in CAE Technical Specification. |
| | b. The output Editing Lists contain the same edits listed in DS4. |
| | c. All output Restored Audio Files are conforming to RF64 file format [7]. |
| | 2. Whenever SB or SSV corrections are required, each file of the output Re- |
| | stored Audio Files array: |
| | a. Shall be of the same duration of the corresponding file in DS3. |
| | b. Shall present the maximum value of the Cross-Correlation function for |
| | i = 0. Considering the Cross-Correlation definition in Error! Reference s |
| | ource not found., $x(i)$ is the Restored Audio File under evaluation and |
| | y(i) is the corresponding file in DS3. |
| | 3. Whenever an ESV correction is <u>required</u> , each file of the output Restored |
| | Audio Files shall have: |
| | a. Time domain samples with $RMSE < 0.1 * A$. Considering the RMSE defi- |
| | nition in Error! Reference source not found. , $x(i)$ is the Restored Au- |
| | dio File under evaluation and $y(i)$ is the corresponding file in DS3. |
| | Where A is the Amplitude of the signal from DS3. |
| | b. $ S_{AIM}(f) - S_{DS3}(f) < S_{AIM}(f) - S_{DS1}(f) $ for f in [20, 20k] Hz, |
| | where $S_{AIM}(f)$ is the PSD of the AIM (Tape Audio Restoration) under |
| | test, $S_{DS3}(f)$ is the PSD of the corresponding file in DS3 and $S_{DS1}(f)$ is |
| | the PSD of the corresponding Preservation Audio File Audio Segment. |
| | 4. If, for any of the n input tuples, the above conditions are not satisfied, the |
| | Tape Audio Restoration module under test does not pass the Conformance |
| | Test. |



Figure 13 – Tape Audio Restoration.

After the Tests, Conformance Tester shall fill out Table 33.

| Standard ID and Use Case ID, Version and Profile of the standard in the form "CAE:ARP:1:0".Name of AIMTape Audio RestorationImplementer IDUnique Implementer Identifier assigned by MPAI Store.Unique Implementation Identifier assigned by Implementer.Neural Network Version*Unique Neural Network Identifier assigned by Implementer.Unique Test Identifier assigned by MPAI Store.Test IDUnique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester.Actual output provided as a matrix of n rows containing output assertions.For example:##ITIB0, 1, T/FT/FT/FActual outputStore colspan="2">ImIMSB0, 1, T/FT/FT/FITSB0, 1, T/FT/FT/FISB0, 1, T/FT/F-T/FISB0, 1, T/FT/FIISB0, 1, T/FT/FIISB0, 1, T/FT/ | Conformance Tester ID | Un | Unique Conformance Tester Identifier assigned by MPAI | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|---|---|--------------|---------------------|-----------|-----------------|------------|----------|
| Versionstandard in the form "CAE:ARP:1:0".Name of AIMTape Audio RestorationImplementer IDUnique Implementer Identifier assigned by MPAI Store.AIM Implementation Version*Unique Implementation Identifier assigned by Implementer.Neural Network Version*Unique Dataset Identifier assigned by MPAI Store.Identifier of ConformanceUnique Dataset Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester.Actual output provided as a matrix of n rows containing output assertions.For example:#IrrEditingBEN0.1T/FT/FIBS, 0.1,T/FT/FISSV2ISB, 0.1,T/FT/FISSV2ISB, 0.1,T/FITrre gularity types present on the Preservation Audio FileIEditing List errors: number of edits incorrectly performed. It has negative impact if different from 0Ouration: Filg to check the RMSE measuresOurationNameCheck the RMSE measuresControl of SV) | Standard, Use Case ID and | Sta | Standard ID and Use Case ID, Version and Profile of the | | | | | | |
| Name of AIMTape Audio RestorationImplementer IDUnique Implementer Identifier assigned by MPAI Store.AIM Implementation VersionUnique Implementation Identifier assigned by Implementer.Neural Network Version*Unique Neural Network Identifier assigned by Implementer.Identifier of Conformance Testing DatasetUnique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester.Actual output provided as a matrix of n rows containing output assertions.For example:#IrrEditing List errorsNormal StoreISB,0,1,T/FT/FT/FISSV2Implementer.MatterImplementerImplementer.ISB,0,1,T/FT/FISB,0,1,T/FT/FISB,0,1,T/FT/FISB,0,1,T/FT/FIImplementer.Implementer.ISB,0,1,T/FT/FISB,0,1,T/FT/FISB,0,1,T/FT/FIImplementer.Implementer.ISB,0,1,T/FT/FISB,0,1,T/FT/FISB,0,1,T/FT/FISB,0,1,T/FT/FISB,0,1,T/FT/FISB,0,1,T/FISB,0,1,T/FISB,0,1,T/FISB, </th <th>Version</th> <th>stai</th> <th colspan="4">standard in the form "CAE:ARP:1:0".</th> | Version | stai | standard in the form "CAE:ARP:1:0". | | | | | | |
| Implementer IDUnique Implementer Identifier assigned by MPAI Store.AIM Implementation VersionUnique Implementation Identifier assigned by Implementer.Neural Network Version*Unique Neural Network Identifier assigned by Implementer.Identifier of Conformance Testing DatasetUnique Dataset Identifier assigned by MPAI Store.Test IDUnique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester.Actual output provided as a matrix of n rows containing output assertions.For example:#IrrEditing List errors#IrrEditing List errorsVariable Neural N | Name of AIM | Tap | be Audi | o Restora | ation | | | | |
| AIM Implementation Version Unique Implementation Identifier assigned by Implementer. Neural Network Version* Unique Neural Network Identifier assigned by Implementer. Identifier of Conformance Testing Dataset Unique Dataset Identifier assigned by MPAI Store. Test ID Unique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester. Actual output provided as a matrix of n rows containing output assertions. For example: # Irr Editing Duration Xcorr RMSE PSD Final assertion I SB, 0, 1, T/F T/F T/F T/F T/F Mathematication in the evaluation: T/F Legend: Tr: Irregularity types present on the Preservation Audio File - Editing List errors: number of edits incorrectly performed. It has negative impact if different from 0 - Editing List errors: number of edits incorrectly performed. It has negative impact if different from 0 | Implementer ID | Un | ique Im | plemente | er Identifie | r assigr | ned by M | PAI St | ore. |
| Neural Network Version* Unique Neural Network Identifier assigned by Implementer. Identifier of Conformance Testing Dataset Unique Dataset Identifier assigned by MPAI Store. Test ID Unique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester. Actual output provided as a matrix of n rows containing output assertions. For example: # Irr Editing Duration Xcorr RMSE PSD Final assertion 1 SB, 0, 1, T/F T/F T/F T/F T/F T/F 1 SB, 0, 1, T/F T/F T/F T/F T/F 8 0, 1, T/F T/F T/F - T/F 8 0, 1, T/F T/F T/F - - 1 SB, 0, 1, T/F T/F - - T/F 8 0, 1, T/F T/F - - T/F 8 SSV 2 - - - T/F 9 B 0,1, T/F T/F - - T/F 1 SB, 0,1, T/F T/F - - T/F SSV 2 | AIM Implementation Version | Un | Unique Implementation Identifier assigned by Implementer. | | | | | | |
| Identifier of Conformance Testing DatasetUnique Dataset Identifier assigned by MPAI Store.Test IDUnique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester.Actual output provided as a matrix of n rows containing output assertions.For example: $\frac{\#}{1}$ IrrEditing List errorsDuration IXcorrRMSEPSDFinal assertion1SB,0, 1,T/FT/FT/FT/FT/F2SSVnSB,0, 1,T/FT/FT/FT/FFinal evaluation:T/FT/FT/FT/FFinal evaluation:T/FIcgend: | Neural Network Version* | Un | ique Ne | ural Netv | vork Ident | ifier ass | signed by | Imple | menter. |
| Testing DatasetTest IDUnique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester.Actual output provided as a matrix of n rows containing output assertions.For example: | Identifier of Conformance | Un | ique Da | taset Ide | ntifier assi | gned by | y MPAI S | Store. | |
| Test IDUnique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester.Actual output provided as a matrix of n rows containing output assertions.For example: | Testing Dataset | | | | | | | | |
| Actual output provided as a matrix of <i>n</i> rows containing output assertions.For example: $\# \ Irr \ Editing \ Duration \ Xcorr \ RMSE \ PSD \ Final assertion1 SB, 0, 1, T/F T/F T/F T/F T/F T/F T/F T/F8.8. 0, 1, T/F T/F T/F T/F T/F T/F9.1. 0, 1, SSV 29.1. 0, 1, T/F T/F T/F9.1. 0, 1, SSV 29.1. 1$ | Test ID | Un | ique Te | st Identif | ier assigne | ed by C | onforma | nce Tes | ster. |
| Actual outputFor example:Image: Image: Image | | Act | ual out | put provi | ded as a m | atrix of | <i>n</i> rows c | ontaini | ing out- |
| For example:#IrrEditing List errorsDuration XcorrXcorrRMSEPSDFinal asser- tion1SB,0, 1,T/FT/FT/FT/FT/F1SB,0, 1,T/FT/FT/FT/FSSV2nSB,0, 1,T/FT/FT/FSSV2nSB,0, 1,T/FT/FT/FSSV2nSB,0, 1,T/FT/FT/FEgend:#: CT dataset tuple numberIrr: Irregularity types present on the Preservation AudioFile-Editing List errors: number of edits incorrectly performed. It has negative impact if different from 0Duration: flag to check the RMSE measures (only for ESV).PSD: flag to check the RMSE measures (only for ESV) | | put | asserti | ons. | | | | | |
| For example:#IrrEditing List errorsDurationXcorrRMSEPSDFinal asser- tion1SB,0, 1,T/FT/FT/FT/FT/F2SSV2Image: SSVImage: SSVImage: SSVImage: SSV2Image: SSVImage: Start SSVImage: SSVImage: SSVImage: SSVImage: Start SSVImage: Start SSVImage: SSVImage: SSVImage: SSVImage: Start SSVImage: SSVImage: SSVImage: SSVImage: SSVImage: Start SSVImage: SSVImage: SSV <t< th=""><th></th><td>E</td><td></td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<> | | E | | 1 | | | | | |
| Actual output $\begin{bmatrix} \# & Irr & Editing \\ List \\ errors & erro$ | | FOI | examp | ole: | | | | | |
| Actual outputList errorsDatabase tionHorizonHorizonHorizonHorizon tion1SB, ESV, 20, 1, SSVT/FT/FT/FT/FT/F1SB, SSV 20, 1, SSV 2T/FT/FT/FT/F1SB, SSV 20, 1, SSV 2T/FT/FT/FT/F1SB, SSV 20, 1, T/FT/FT/FT/FT/F1SB, SSV 20, 1, T/FT/FT/FT/F1SSV SSV 21T/FT/FT/F1SB, SSV 20, 1, T/FT/FT/FT/F1SB, SSV 20, 1, T/FT/FT/FT/F1SB, SSV 20, 1, T/FT/FT/FT/F1SB, SSV 20, 1, T/FT/FT/FT/F1SB, SSV 20, 1, T/FT/FT/FT/F1SB, SSV 20, 1, T/FT/FT/FT/F1SB, SSV 20, 1, T/FT/FT/FT/F1Legend: SSV 2T/F1Legend: SSV 2T/F1Legend: SSV 21IIIII1IIIII1III< | | # | Irr | Editing | Duration | Xcorr | RMSE | PSD | Final |
| Actual output $a = a = a = b = b = b = b = b = b = b = $ | | | | List | | | | | asser- |
| Actual outputIf ESV, SSVIf ESV, 2If F <th></th> <td></td> <td>GD</td> <td>errors</td> <td>m / F</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>T (</td> <td>tion</td> | | | GD | errors | m / F | | | T (| tion |
| Actual output \overrightarrow{SSV} $\overrightarrow{I.I.}$ $\overrightarrow{I.I.}$ $\overrightarrow{I.I.}$ $\overrightarrow{I.I.}$ $\overrightarrow{I.I.}$ n \overrightarrow{SSV} $\overrightarrow{I.I.}$ $\overrightarrow{I.I.}$ $\overrightarrow{I.I.}$ $\overrightarrow{I.I.}$ $\overrightarrow{I.I.}$ n \overrightarrow{SB} $0, 1, $ $\overrightarrow{I/F}$ $\overrightarrow{I/F}$ $\overrightarrow{I/F}$ \overrightarrow{SSV} 2 $\overrightarrow{I/F}$ $\overrightarrow{I/F}$ $\overrightarrow{I/F}$ Final evaluation: $\overrightarrow{I/F}$ Legend:- $\overrightarrow{I/F}$ - $\overrightarrow{I/F}$ \overrightarrow{Irr} : Irregularity types present on the Preservation AudioFileEditing List errors: number of edits incorrectly performed. It has negative impact if different from 0-Duration: flag to check the Restored Audio Files duration-Xcorr: flag to check the RMSE measures-RMSE: flag to check the RMSE measures (only for ESV)-PSD: flag to check the PSD measures (only for ESV) | | | SB, FSV | 0, 1, 2 | T/F | 1/F | T/F | T/F | T/F |
| Actual output | | | SSV, | 2 | | | | | |
| Actual outputnSB, SSV0, 1, 2T/FT/FT/FFinal outputFinal evaluation: T/FLegend: - #: CT dataset tuple number. - Irr: Irregularity types present on the Preservation Audio | | | | | | | | | |
| Actual output Final evaluation: T/F Legend: - #: CT dataset tuple number. - Irr: Irregularity types present on the Preservation Audio File - Editing List errors: number of edits incorrectly performed. It has negative impact if different from 0 - Duration: flag to check the Restored Audio Files duration - Xcorr: flag to check the RMSE measures (only for ESV) - PSD: flag to check the PSD measures (only for ESV) | | n | SB, | 0, 1, | T/F | T/F | - | - | T/F |
| Actual outputFinal evaluation: T/FLegend: - #: CT dataset tuple number. - Irr: Irregularity types present on the Preservation Audio File - Editing List errors: number of edits incorrectly per- formed. It has negative impact if different from 0 - Duration: flag to check the Restored Audio Files duration - Xcorr: flag to check the cross-correlation measures - RMSE: flag to check the RMSE measures (only for ESV) - PSD: flag to check the PSD measures (only for ESV) | A stual output | | 33 4 | 2 | | | | | |
| Legend: - #: CT dataset tuple number. - Irr: Irregularity types present on the Preservation Audio File - Editing List errors: number of edits incorrectly per- formed. It has negative impact if different from 0 - Duration: flag to check the Restored Audio Files duration - Xcorr: flag to check the cross-correlation measures - RMSE: flag to check the RMSE measures (only for ESV) - PSD: flag to check the PSD measures (only for ESV) | Actual output | Fin | al evalı | uation: T | Έ | | | | |
| Legend: - #: CT dataset tuple number. - Irr: Irregularity types present on the Preservation Audio File - Editing List errors: number of edits incorrectly per- formed. It has negative impact if different from 0 - Duration: flag to check the Restored Audio Files duration - Xcorr: flag to check the cross-correlation measures - RMSE: flag to check the RMSE measures (only for ESV) - PSD: flag to check the PSD measures (only for ESV) | | | ur o vur | <i>au</i> 17 | • | | | | |
| #: CT dataset tuple number. Irr: Irregularity types present on the Preservation Audio File Editing List errors: number of edits incorrectly performed. It has negative impact if different from 0 Duration: flag to check the Restored Audio Files duration Xcorr: flag to check the cross-correlation measures RMSE: flag to check the RMSE measures (only for ESV) PSD: flag to check the PSD measures (only for ESV) | | Leg | gend: | | | | | | |
| Irr: Irregularity types present on the Preservation Audio File Editing List errors: number of edits incorrectly performed. It has negative impact if different from 0 Duration: flag to check the Restored Audio Files duration Xcorr: flag to check the cross-correlation measures RMSE: flag to check the RMSE measures (only for ESV) PSD: flag to check the PSD measures (only for ESV) | | - #: | CT dat | taset tupl | e number. | | | | |
| File- Editing List errors: number of edits incorrectly per- formed. It has negative impact if different from 0- Duration: flag to check the Restored Audio Files duration- Xcorr: flag to check the cross-correlation measures- RMSE: flag to check the RMSE measures (only for ESV)- PSD: flag to check the PSD measures (only for ESV) | | - Ir | r: Irreg | ularity ty | pes presei | nt on th | e Preserv | ation A | Audio |
| Editing List errors: number of edits incorrectly performed. It has negative impact if different from 0 Duration: flag to check the Restored Audio Files duration Xcorr: flag to check the cross-correlation measures RMSE: flag to check the RMSE measures (only for ESV) PSD: flag to check the PSD measures (only for ESV) | | File | | | | | | | |
| formed. It has negative impact if different from 0 Duration: flag to check the Restored Audio Files duration Xcorr: flag to check the cross-correlation measures RMSE: flag to check the RMSE measures (only for ESV) PSD: flag to check the PSD measures (only for ESV) | | Editing List errors: number of edits incorrectly performed. It has negative impact if different from 0 Duration: flag to check the Restored Audio Files duration Xcorr: flag to check the cross-correlation measures PMSE: flag to check the Restored control for ESV) | | | | | | | |
| Duration: flag to check the Restored Audio Files duration Xcorr: flag to check the cross-correlation measures RMSE: flag to check the RMSE measures (only for ESV) PSD: flag to check the PSD measures (only for ESV) | | | | | | | | | |
| - Xcorr: flag to check the cross-correlation measures - RMSE: flag to check the RMSE measures (only for ESV) - PSD: flag to check the PSD measures (only for ESV) | | | | | | | | | |
| - RMSE: flag to check the RMSE measures (only for ESV) - PSD: flag to check the PSD measures (only for ESV) | | | | | | | | | |
| - FSD : hag to check the PSD measures (only for ESV) | | - RMISE : flag to check the RMSE measures (only for ESV) | | | | | | | |
| - Final accertion: AND operation between provided regults | | - Final assertion : AND operation between previous results | | | | | | | |
| Execution time* Duration of test execution | Execution time* | - Final assertion . AND operation between previous results | | | | | | | |
| Test comments Comments on test results and possible needed actions | Test comment* | Co | mmente | on test r | esults and | nossihl | e needed | action | IS |
| Expression and the second sec | Test Date | | v/mm/ | dd. | courts and | P033101 | | | |
| | Test Date | yyyy/mm/dd. | | | | | | | |

 Table 33 – Conformance Testing form of Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Tape Audio Restoration.

* Optional field

5.3.6 Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Packager

Table 34 gives the input/output data of the Packager.

| AIM | Input Data | Output Data |
|-------|--------------------------------|---------------------------|
| Pack- | Preservation Audio File | Access Copy Files |
| ager | Preservation Audio-Visual File | Preservation Master Files |
| | Restored Audio Files | |
| | Editing List | |
| | Irregularity File | |
| | Irregularity Images | |

Table 34 – I/O Data of Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Packager.

Table 35 gives the Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) *Packager* Means and how they are used.

Table 35 – AIM Means and use of Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Packager.

| Means | Actions | | | | | |
|-------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Conform- | DS1: <i>n</i> Preservation Audio Files. | | | | | |
| ance Test- | DS2: <i>n</i> Preservation Audio-Visual Files related to DS1. | | | | | |
| ing Dataset | DS3: <i>n</i> Restored Audio Files arrays related to DS1 coming from Tape Audio | | | | | |
| | Restoration. | | | | | |
| | DS4: <i>n</i> Editing Lists related to DS3 coming from Tape Audio Restoration. | | | | | |
| | DS5: <i>n</i> Irregularity Files related to DS1 coming from Tape Irregularity Classifier. | | | | | |
| | DS6: <i>n</i> Irregularity Images related to DS5 coming from Tape Irregularity Classi- | | | | | |
| | fier. | | | | | |
| | DS7: <i>n</i> Access Copy Files. | | | | | |
| | DS8: <i>n</i> Preservation Master Files. | | | | | |
| Procedure | 1. Feed Packager under test with DS1, DS2, DS3, DS4, DS5 and DS6. | | | | | |
| | 2. Compare the output Access Copy Files with DS7. | | | | | |
| | 3. Compare the output Preservation Master Files with DS8. | | | | | |
| Evaluation | For a given input tuple, verify that: | | | | | |
| | 1. The output Access Copy Files contain the Restored Audio Files, the Editing | | | | | |
| | List, the Irregularity File and the set of Irregularity Images in a .zip file and | | | | | |
| | is therefore equal to DS7. | | | | | |
| | 2. The output Preservation Master Files contain the Preservation Audio File, the | | | | | |
| | Preservation Audio-Visual File with the audio of the Preservation Audio File, | | | | | |
| | the Irregularity File, and the Irregularity Images, and is therefore equal to | | | | | |
| | DS8. | | | | | |
| | An error on any of the output arrays will make the Packager under test not con- | | | | | |
| | formant. | | | | | |



Figure 14 – Packager.

After the Tests, Conformance Tester shall fill out Table 36.

Table 36 – Conformance Testing form of Audio Recording Preservation (ARP) Packager.

| Conformance Tester ID | Unique Conformance Tester Identifier assigned by MPAI | | | | |
|----------------------------|---|----------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Standard, Use Case ID and | Standard ID and Use Case ID, Version and Profile of the | | | | |
| Version | standard in the form "CAE:ARP:1:0". | | | | |
| Name of AIM | Packager | | | | |
| Implementer ID | Unique Implemente | er Identifier assigne | ed by N | IPAI S | tore. |
| AIM Implementation Version | Unique Implementa | ation Identifier assi | gned by | / Imple | menter. |
| Neural Network Version* | Unique Neural Net | work Identifier assi | gned by | y Imple | menter. |
| Identifier of Conformance | Unique Dataset Ide | entifier assigned by | MPAI | Store. | |
| Testing Dataset | | | | | |
| Test ID | Unique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester. | | | | |
| | Actual output provided as a matrix of <i>n</i> rows containing output assertions. | | | | |
| | Output | Files | 1 | | n |
| Actual output | Access Copy Files | Restored Audio Files | T/F | | T/F |
| | | Editing List | T/F | | T/F |
| | | Irregularity File | T/F | | T/F |
| | | Irregularity Images | T/F | | T/F |
| | Preservation Master Files | Preservation Audio File | T/F | | T/F |

| | | Preservation Audio- Visual File | T/F | | T/F |
|-----------------|---|------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|
| | | Irregularity File | T/F | | T/F |
| | | Irregularity Images | T/F | | T/F |
| | Final assertion: T/F | 7 | | | |
| Execution time* | Duration of test exe | ecution. | | | |
| Test comment* | Comments on test results and possible needed actions. | | | ıs. | |
| Test Date | yyyy/mm/dd. | | | | |

5.4 Speech Restoration System (CAE-SRS)

The normative Architecture of the Speech Restoration System (CAE-SRS) is given by Figure 15.



Figure 15 – Reference Model of Speech Restoration System (CAE-SRS)

The input/output data format are specified in 5.1.2 [3].

5.4.1 Speech Restoration System (SRS) AIMs

Table 37Error! Reference source not found. gives the AIMs and the input/output data of Speech Restoration System (SRS).

| Table 37 – AIMs and their I/O | data of Speech | Restoration System | (SRS) |
|-------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------|
|-------------------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------|

| AIM | Input Data | Output Data |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Speech Model Creation | Audio Segments for Modelling | Neural Network Speech Model |
| Speech Synthesiser | Text List | Synthesised Speech |
| | Neural Network Speech Model | |
| Assembler | Damaged Segments | Restored Segment |
| | Damaged List | |
| | Synthesised Speech | |

5.4.2 Speech Model Creation

Table 38 gives the input/output data of Speech Model Creation.

Table 38 – I/O Data of Speech Restoration System (CAE-SRS) Speech Model Creation

| AIM | Input Data | Output Data | |
|------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| Speech Model Creation | Audio Segments for Modelling | Neural Network Speech Model | |

Table 39 gives the Speech Restoration System (*CAE-SRS*) Speech Model Creation Means and how they are used.

Table 39 - AIM Means and use of Speech Restoration System (CAE-SRS) Speech Model Creation

| Means | Actions |
|-------------|--|
| Conform- | DS1: a set of <i>n</i> Audio Segments, suitable input for creation of a Neural Network |
| ance Test- | Speech Model for a specific speaker. |
| ing Dataset | |
| Procedure | 1. Pass Audio Segments for Modelling to Speech Module Creation AIM as in- |
| | put, according to its declared standard procedure. |
| | 2. Provide resulting Neural Network Speech Model as input to the reference |
| | Speech Synthesiser AIM (ID: ss). |
| | 3. Synthesise all texts in canonical Text List. |
| Evaluation | 1. Evaluate synthesis quality using Perception Evaluation of Speech Quality |
| | (PESQ). |
| | 2. If the score is above a threshold of 2.0, the Speech Model Creation AIM is |
| | judged adequate. |
| | 3. If the quality is below threshold, the submitter of Speech Model Creation is |
| | given the opportunity to submit an implementation of Speech Synthesiser. |
| | 4. The MPAI Store will test the combination of the two submitted AIMs. |
| | 5. If the quality of the output of the submitted combination is above threshold, |
| | Speech Model Creation passes the Conformance Test as long as the corre- |
| | sponding Speech Synthesiser are made available to the MPAI Store. |
| | 6. Else, Speech Model Creation doesn't pass the Conformance Test. |



Figure 16 - Speech Model Creation.

After the Tests, Conformance Tester shall fill out *Table 40*.

| Conformance Tester IDUnique Conformance Tester Identifier assigned by MPAStandard, Use Case ID and VersionStandard ID and Use Case ID, Version and Profile of standard in the form "CAE:SRS:1:0".Name of AIMSpeech Model CreationImplementer IDUnique Implementer Identifier assigned by MPAI Store.AIM Implementation VersionUnique Implementation Identifier assigned by ImplementName I Network Version*Unique Implementation Identifier assigned by Implement |
|--|
| Standard, Use Case ID and VersionStandard ID and Use Case ID, Version and Profile of standard in the form "CAE:SRS:1:0".Name of AIMSpeech Model CreationImplementer IDUnique Implementer Identifier assigned by MPAI Store.AIM Implementation VersionUnique Implementation Identifier assigned by ImplementName I Network Version*Unique Implementation Identifier assigned by Implement |
| Version standard in the form "CAE:SRS:1:0". Name of AIM Speech Model Creation Implementer ID Unique Implementer Identifier assigned by MPAI Store. AIM Implementation Version Unique Implementation Identifier assigned by Implement Neural Network Version* Unique Implementation Identifier assigned by Implement |
| Name of AIMSpeech Model CreationImplementer IDUnique Implementer Identifier assigned by MPAI Store.AIM Implementation VersionUnique Implementation Identifier assigned by ImplementNeural Network Version*Unique Neural Network Identifier assigned by Implement |
| Implementer IDUnique Implementer Identifier assigned by MPAI Store.AIM Implementation VersionUnique Implementation Identifier assigned by ImplementNeural Network Version*Unique Neural Network Identifier assigned the Implement |
| AIM Implementation Version Unique Implementation Identifier assigned by Implement |
| Normal Natural, Vargian* Unique Normal Naturals Identifier assigns the Instance |
| Internal Network Version Onique Neural Network Identifier assigned by Implement |
| Identifier of Conformance Unique Dataset Identifier assigned by MPAI Store. |
| Testing Dataset |
| Test ID Unique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester. |
| Actual output provided as a matrix of <i>n</i> rows containing c |
| put assertions. |
| |
| For example: |
| |
| # Input Final assertion |
| 1 DS1[1] T/F |
| |
| n DS1[n] T/F |
| A other output |
| Actual output |
| Actual output Final evaluation: T/F |
| Actual output Final evaluation: T/F |
| Actual output Final evaluation: T/F Legend: |
| Actual output Final evaluation: T/F Legend: - #: Speech Model Creation input dataset tuple number. |
| Actual output Final evaluation: T/F Eegend: - #: Speech Model Creation input dataset tuple number. - DS1: Audio Segments for Modelling |
| Actual output Final evaluation: T/F Legend: - #: Speech Model Creation input dataset tuple number. - DS1: Audio Segments for Modelling - Final assertion: T if Neural Network Speech Model is |
| Actual output Final evaluation: T/F Legend: - #: Speech Model Creation input dataset tuple number. - DS1: Audio Segments for Modelling - Final assertion: T if Neural Network Speech Model is well-formed, else F |
| Actual output Final evaluation: T/F Legend: - #: Speech Model Creation input dataset tuple number. - #: Speech Model Creation input dataset tuple number. - DS1: Audio Segments for Modelling - Final assertion: T if Neural Network Speech Model is well-formed, else F - Final evaluation: T if all Final assertions are T else F |
| Actual output Final evaluation: T/F Final evaluation: T/F Legend: - #: Speech Model Creation input dataset tuple number. - DS1: Audio Segments for Modelling - Final assertion: T if Neural Network Speech Model is well-formed, else F - Final evaluation: T if all Final assertions are T, else F Execution time* Duration of test execution. |
| Actual output Final evaluation: T/F Final evaluation: T/F Legend: - #: Speech Model Creation input dataset tuple number. - DS1: Audio Segments for Modelling - DS1: Audio Segments for Modelling - Final assertion: T if Neural Network Speech Model is well-formed, else F - Final evaluation: T if all Final assertions are T, else F Duration of test execution. Test comment* Comments on test results and possible needed actions |

Table 40 - Conformance Testing form of Speech Restoration System (SRS) Speech Model Creation.

5.4.3 Speech Synthesiser

Table 41 gives the input/output data of Speech Synthesiser.

Table 41 - I/O Data of Speech Restoration System (CAE-SRS) Speech Synthesiser

| AIM | Input Data | Output Data | |
|--------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Speech Synthesiser | Text List | Synthesised Speech | |
| | Neural Network Speech Model | | |

Table 42 gives the *Speech Restoration System (CAE-SRS) Speech Synthesiser* Means and how they are used.

Table 42 - AIM Means and use of Speech Restoration System (CAE-SRS) Speech Synthesiser

| Means | Actions | | |
|-------------|--|--|--|
| Conform- | DS1: a dataset of <i>n</i> canonical Text Lists. | | |
| ance Test- | DS2: a dataset of <i>n</i> canonical Neural Network Speech Models. | | |
| ing Dataset | | | |
| Procedure | Pass canonical Text Lists and canonical Neural Network Speech Models to Speech Synthesiser AIM as input, according to its declared standard proce- dure. | | |
| | 2. Synthesise all texts in canonical Text List. | | |
| Evaluation | Evaluate synthesis quality using Perception Evaluation of Speech Quality (PESQ). If score passes declared threshold, Speech Synthesiser is judged adequate. | | |
| | 3. Else, Speech Synthesiser doesn't pass the Conformance Test. | | |



Figure 17 - Speech Synthesiser.

After the Tests, Conformance Tester shall fill out *Table 43*.

Table 43 - Conformance Testing form of Speech Restoration System (SRS) Speech Synthesiser.

| Conformance Tester ID | Unique Conformance Tester Identifier assigned by MPAI | | |
|----------------------------|---|--|--|
| Standard, Use Case ID and | Standard ID and Use Case ID, Version and Profile of the | | |
| Version | standard in the form "CAE:SRS:1:0". | | |
| Name of AIM | Speech Synthesiser | | |
| Implementer ID | Unique Implementer Identifier assigned by MPAI Store. | | |
| AIM Implementation Version | Unique Implementation Identifier assigned by Implementer. | | |
| Neural Network Version* | Unique Neural Network Identifier assigned by Implementer. | | |
| Identifier of Conformance | Unique Dataset Identifier assigned by MPAI Store. | | |
| Testing Dataset | | | |
| Test ID | Unique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester. | | |
| | Actual output provided as a matrix of <i>n</i> rows containing out- | | |
| | put assertions. | | |
| Actual output | | | |
| | For example: | | |
| | | | |

| | # | Input | Final assertion | |
|-----------------|---|------------------|--------------------------|--|
| | 1 | DS1[1], | T/F | |
| | | DS2[1] | | |
| | | | | |
| | п | DS1[<i>n</i>], | T/F | |
| | | DS2[<i>n</i>] | | |
| | | | | |
| | Final evaluation: T/F | | | |
| | | | | |
| | Legend: | | | |
| | - #: Speech Synthesiser input dataset tuple number. | | | |
| | - DS1: Text List | | | |
| | - DS2 : Neural Network Speech Model | | | |
| | - Final assertion: T if Synthesised Speech is audible and | | | |
| | intel | ligible else F | see specen is unable una | |
| | Final evolution, T if all Final accortions are T also F | | | |
| | - Final evaluation: 1 If all Final assertions are 1, else F | | | |
| Execution time* | Duration of test execution. | | | |
| Test comment* | Comments on test results and possible needed actions. | | | |
| Test Date | уууу | /mm/dd. | | |

5.4.4 Assembler

Table 44 gives the input/output data of Assembler.

Table 44 - I/O Data of Speech Restoration System (CAE-SRS) Assembler

| AIM | Input Data | Output Data | |
|-----------|--------------------|------------------|--|
| Assembler | Damaged Segment | Restored Segment | |
| | Damaged List | | |
| | Synthesised Speech | | |

Table 45 gives the Speech Restoration System (CAE-SRS) Assembler Means and how they are used.

| Table 45 - AIM Mean | s and use of Speech | Restoration System | (CAE-SRS) Assembler |
|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------|

| Means | Actions | | | | |
|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Conform- | DS1: a canonical set of <i>n</i> Damaged Segments | | | | |
| ance Test- | DS2: a canonical set of <i>n</i> Damaged Lists | | | | |
| ing Dataset | DS3: a canonical set of <i>n</i> Synthesised Speeches. | | | | |
| Procedure | 1. Pass DS1, DS2 and DS3 to Assembler, according to its declared standard | | | | |
| | Procedure. | | | | |
| | 2. Perform all specified assembly operations: Synthesised Speech results shall | | | | |
| | replace all bad sections of Damaged Segment as specified by Damaged List. | | | | |
| Evaluation | 1. Restored Segment shall be evaluated for quality using Perception Evaluation | | | | |
| | of Speech Quality (PESQ). Restoration shall be seamless, so that listeners are | | | | |
| | unable to reliably identify locations of repaired sections. | | | | |
| | 2. If the scores exceed a declared threshold, Assembler is judged adequate. | | | | |
| | 3. Else, Assembler doesn't pass the Conformance Test. | | | | |



Figure 18 - Assembler.

After the Tests, Conformance Tester shall fill out Table 46.

| <i>Table 46 -</i> | Conformance | Testing form | of Speech | Restoration | System | (SRS) A | ssembler. |
|-------------------|-------------|--------------|-----------|-------------|--------|---------|-----------|
| | | 0,0 | | | ~ | · / | |

| Conformance Tester ID | Unique C | Conformance Test | ter Identifier assigned by MPAI | |
|------------------------------|---|-------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| Standard, Use Case ID and | Standard | ID and Use Cas | e ID, Version and Profile of the | |
| Version | standard | in the form "CAH | E:SRS:V:P". | |
| Name of AIM | Assembl | er | | |
| Implementer ID | Unique I | mplementer Ident | tifier assigned by MPAI Store. | |
| AIM Implementation Version | Unique Implementation Identifier assigned by Implementer. | | | |
| Neural Network Version* | Unique N | Neural Network Id | lentifier assigned by Implementer. | |
| Identifier of Conformance | Unique Dataset Identifier assigned by MPAI Store. | | | |
| Testing Dataset | | | | |
| Test ID | Unique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester. | | | |
| Actual output | Unique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance T Actual output provided as a matrix of n rows conta output assertions. For example: | | | |

| | - DS2 : Damaged List (of damaged sub-segments within current Damaged Segment) |
|-----------------|--|
| | - DS3 : Synthesised Speech (list of synthesised sub-seq- |
| | ments corresponding to damaged sub-segments of DL) |
| | - Final assertion: T if Restored Segment is well-formed |
| | (single audio file without audible interruptions or gaps, |
| | produced without error messages or breaks), else F |
| | - Final evaluation: T if all Final assertions are T, else F |
| Execution time* | Duration of test execution. |
| Test comment* | Comments on test results and possible needed actions. |
| Test Date | yyyy/mm/dd. |

5.5 Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE)

The normative Architecture of the Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) is given by *Figure 19*.



Figure 19 - Reference Model of Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE)

The input/output data format are specified in 5.4 [3].

5.5.1 Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) AIMs

Table 47 gives the AIMs and the input/output data of Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE).

| Table 47 – AIMs and their I/O | data of Enhanced | Audioconference | Experience | (CAE-EAE) |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|
|-------------------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------|

| AIM | Input Data | Output Data |
|---------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Analysis Transform | Microphone Array Audio | Transform Multichannel Audio |
| Sound Field Description | Transform Multichannel | Spherical Harmonics Decomposi- |
| | Audio | tion (SHD) |
| | Microphone Array Ge- | |
| | ometry | |
| Speech Detection and Sep- | SHD | Transform Speech |
| aration | | Audio Scene Geometry |

| Noise Cancellation | SHD | Denoised Transform Speech |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------------------------|
| | Transform Speech | |
| | Audio Scene Geometry | |
| Synthesis Transform | Denoised Transform | Denoised Speech |
| | Speech | |
| Packager | Denoised Speech | Multichannel Audio + |
| | Audio Scene Geometry | Audio Scene Geometry |
| | Microphone Array Ge- | |
| | ometry | |

5.5.2 Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Analysis Transform

Table 48 gives the input/output data of the Analysis Transform.

Table 48 – I/O Data of Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Analysis Transform

| AIM | Input Data | Output Data |
|---------------------------|------------------------|------------------------------|
| Analysis Transform | Microphone Array Audio | Transform Multichannel Audio |

Table 49 gives the *Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Analysis Transform* Means and how they are used.

| Table 49 – AIM Means and use of Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Analysis |
|--|
| Transform |

| M | A |
|-------------|--|
| Ivieans | Actions |
| Conform- | DS1: <i>n</i> Test files including Microphone Array Audio in Interleaved Multichannel |
| ance Test- | Audio format. |
| ing Dataset | DS2: <i>n</i> Expected Output files including data in Transform Interleaved Multichan- |
| | nel Audio format. |
| Procedure | 1. Feed the AIM under test with the Test files (DS1). |
| | 2. Analyse the Transform Multichannel Audio with the Expected Output files |
| | (DS2). |
| Evaluation | 1. Check the Transform Multichannel Audio data format with the given Ex- |
| | pected Output files format. |
| | 2. Calculate the peak-to-peak Amplitude (A) of each Audio block in the Ex- |
| | pected Output files. |
| | 3. Calculate the RMSE of each Audio block by comparing the Transform Mul- |
| | tichannel Audio (x) with the Expected Output files (y). |
| | 4. Accept the AIM under test if, for each audio block, these two conditions are |
| | satisfied: |
| | a. Data format of the Transform Multichannel Audio is the same with the |
| | Expected Output Files format and |
| | b. $RMSE < A^* 0.1\%$. |



Figure 20 – Analysis Transform Testing Flow

After the Tests, Conformance Tester shall fill out Table 50.

 Table 50 – Conformance Testing form of Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE)

 Analysis Transform

| Conformance Tester ID | Unique Conformance Tester Identifier assigned by MPAI | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|------------------|-------------|
| Standard, Use Case ID and | Standard ID and Use Case ID, Version and Profile of the | | | |
| Version | standard in the form "CAE:EAE:1:0". | | | |
| Name of AIM | Analysis Transfe | orm | | |
| Implementer ID | Unique Impleme | enter Identifier ass | signed by MP | AI Store. |
| AIM Implementation Version | Unique Impleme | entation Identifier | assigned by I | mplementer. |
| Neural Network Version* | Unique Neural N | Network Identifier | assigned by I | mplementer. |
| Identifier of Conformance | Unique Dataset | Identifier assigned | l by MPAI St | ore. |
| Testing Dataset | | | | |
| Test ID | Unique Test Ide | ntifier assigned by | / Conformanc | e Tester. |
| | The Conformance Tester will provide the following matrix containing a limited number of input records (n) with the corresponding outputs. If an input record fails, the tester would specify the reason why the test case fails. | | | |
| | Input data (DS1) | Expected Out- put Data (DS2) | Data For- mat | RMSE |
| | Microphone Array Audio ID ₁ | Transform Multichannel Audio ID ₁ | T/F | < A*0.1% |
| Actual output | Microphone Array Audio ID ₂ | Transform Multichannel Audio ID ₂ | T/F | < A*0.1% |
| | Microphone Array Audio ID ₃ | Transform Multichannel Audio ID3 | T/F | < A*0.1% |
| | | | | |
| | Microphone Array Audio ID _n | Transform Multichannel Audio ID _n | T/F | < A*0.1% |
| | | | | |

| | Final evaluation: T/F Denoting with <i>i</i> , $0 \le i < n = 50$, the record number in DS1 and DS2, the matrices reflect the results obtained with | | |
|-----------------|---|--|--|
| | Input records 1 with the corresponding outputs 1. DS1 DS2 Analysis Transform output value (obtained through the AIM under test) | | |
| | DS1[<i>i</i>] DS2[<i>i</i>] AnalysisTransform[<i>i</i>] | | |
| Execution time* | Duration of test execution. | | |
| Test comment* | Comments on test results and possible needed actions. | | |
| Test Date | vyvy/mm/dd. | | |

5.5.3 Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Sound Field Description

Table 51 Table 51 gives the input/output data of the Sound Field Description.

Table 51 – I/O Data of Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Sound Field Description

| AIM | Input Data | Output Data |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------------|
| Sound Field Description | Transform Multichannel Audio | SHD |
| | Microphone Array Geometry | |

Table 52 Table 52 gives the *Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Sound Field Description* Means and how they are used.

| Table 52 – AIM Means and use of Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Soun | d |
|--|---|
| Field Description | |

| Means | Actions |
|-------------|---|
| Micallo | |
| Conform- | DS1: <i>n</i> Test files containing real recordings or simulations structured in Trans- |
| ance Test- | form Multichannel Audio format. |
| ing Dataset | DS2: n Microphone Array Geometry associated with the real recordings or sim- |
| | ulations. |
| | DS3: <i>n</i> Expected Output files including data in SHD format. |
| Procedure | 1. Feed the AIM under test with the Test files (DS1) and their associated Micro- |
| | phone Array Geometry (DS2). |
| | 2. Analyse the SHD with the Expected Output files (DS3). |
| Evaluation | 1. Check the output SHD data format with the given Expected Output files for- |
| | mat. |
| | 2. Calculate the peak-to-peak Amplitude (A) value of each Audio block in the |
| | Expected Output files. |

| 3. | Calculate the RMSE of each Audio block in SHD by comparing the output |
|----|--|
| | (x) with the Expected Output files (y). |
| 4. | Accept the AIM under test if, for each audio block, these two conditions are |
| | satisfied: |
| | a. Data format of the SHD is the same with the Expected Output Files and |
| | b. RMSE < A * 0.1% |



Figure 21 - Sound Field Description Testing Flow

After the Tests, Conformance Tester shall fill out Table 53.

| Table 53 – Conformance Testing form of Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) |
|--|
| Sound Field Description |

| Conformance Tester ID | Unique Conformance Tester Identifier assigned by MPAI |
|----------------------------|---|
| Standard, Use Case ID and | Standard ID and Use Case ID, Version and Profile of the |
| Version | standard in the form "CAE:EAE:1:0". |
| Name of AIM | Sound Field Description |
| Implementer ID | Unique Implementer Identifier assigned by MPAI Store. |
| AIM Implementation Version | Unique Implementation Identifier assigned by Implementer. |
| Neural Network Version* | Unique Neural Network Identifier assigned by Implementer. |
| Identifier of Conformance | Unique Dataset Identifier assigned by MPAI Store. |
| Testing Dataset | |
| Test ID | Unique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester. |

| | The Conf containin correspor would spo | formanc g a lim ding ou ecify the | te Tester will ited number of utputs. If an e reason why | provide the of input rec input record the test case | following matrix ords (n) with the d fails, the tester e fails. |
|-----------------|---|--|---|--|--|
| | Input data (DS1, DS2) | | Expected Output Data (DS3) | Data Format | RMSE |
| | Transf Multich Audio Microp Array G etry I | form annel ID_1 hone eom- D_1 | SHD ID ₁ | T/F | < A * 0.1% |
| | Transform Multichannel Audio ID ₂ Microphone Array Geom- | | SHD ID ₂ | T/F | < A * 0.1% |
| Actual output | Transform Multichannel Audio ID ₃ Microphone Array Geom- etry ID ₃ | | SHD ID ₃ | T/F | < A * 0.1% |
| | Transform Multichannel Audio ID _n Microphone Array Geom- etry ID _n | | SHD ID _n | T/F | < A * 0.1% |
| | Final eva | | | | |
| | Denoting DS1, DS2 with inpu | with <i>i</i> 2, and D t record | $i, 1 \le i < n =$ 0S3, the matric ls i with the co | = 50, the received the reflect the presponding | ecord number in le results obtained g outputs i. |
| | DS1 | DS2 | DS3 | Sound Fie outr (obtained th und | eld Description but value hrough the AIM der test) |
| | DS1[<i>i</i>] | DS2[i |] DS3[i] | SoundFiel | dDescription[<i>i</i>] |
| Execution time* | Duration | of test e | execution. | | |
| Test comment* | * Comments on test results and possible needed actions. | | | | |
| Test Date | yyyy/mm/dd. | | | | |

5.5.4 Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Speech Detection and Separation

Table 54 gives the input/output data of the Speech Detection and Separation.

 Table 54- I/O Data of Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Speech Detection and Separation

| AIM | Input Data | Output Data |
|---------------------------------|------------|----------------------|
| Speech Detection and Separation | SHD | Transform Speech |
| | | Audio Scene Geometry |

Table 55 gives the *Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Speech Detection and Separation* Means and how they are used.

 Table 55 – AIM Means and use of Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Speech

 Detection and Separation

| Means | Actions |
|-------------|--|
| Conform- | The Conformance Testing Dataset is composed b: |
| ance Test- | DS1: <i>n</i> Test files containing SHD. |
| ing Dataset | DS2: <i>n</i> Expected Transform Speech Files. |
| | DS3: <i>n</i> Expected Audio Scene Geometry. |
| Procedure | 1. Feed the AIM under test with the Test files. |
| | 2. Analyse the Audio Scene Geometry. |
| | 3. Analyse Transform Speech Files. |
| Evaluation | 1. Control the Audio Scene Geometry with the Expected Audio Scene Geome- |
| | try: |
| | a. Count the number of objects in the Audio Scene Geometry. |
| | b. Calculate the angle difference (AD) in degrees between the objects (u) in |
| | the Audio Scene Geometry and the objects (v) in the Expected Audio |
| | Scene Geometry. |
| | 2. Compare the number of Audio Blocks in the Expected Transform Speech |
| | with the number of Audio Blocks in the Transform Speech Files. |
| | 3. Calculate Signal to Interference Ratio (SIR), Signal to Distortion Ratio |
| | (SDR), and Signal to Artefacts Ratio (SAR) between the Expected and Output |
| | Transform Speech Files [12]. |
| | 4. Accept the AIM under test if these four conditions are satisfied: |
| | a. The number of speech objects in the Audio Scene Geometry is equal to |
| | the number of speech objects in the Expected Audio Scene Geometry. |
| | b. The number of Audio Blocks in the Transform Speech is equal to the |
| | number of Audio Blocks in the Expected Transform Speech. |
| | c. Compare each Speech Object in the Audio Scene Geometry with the |
| | Speech Object in the Expected Audio Scene Geometry. |
| | 1. Each object's AD between the Expected and Output is less than 5 |
| | degrees. |
| | a. Compare each Speech Object in the Transform Speech with the Speech |
| | Object in the Expected Transform Speech. |

| i. If the | the room reverb time (T60) is greater than 0.5 seconds. |
|-----------|---|
| | 1. Each object's SIR between the Expected and |
| | Output is greater than or equal to 10 dB. |
| | 2. Each object's SDR between the Expected and |
| | Output is greater than or equal to 3 dB. |
| | 3. Each object's SAR between the Expected and |
| | Output is greater than or equal to 3 dB. |
| ii. If th | the room reverb time (T60) is less than 0.5 seconds. |
| | 1. Each object's SIR between the Expected and |
| | Output is greater than or equal to 15 dB. |
| | 2. Each object's SDR between the Expected and |
| | Output is greater than or equal to 6 dB. |
| | 3. Each object's SAR between the Expected and |
| | Output is greater than or equal to 6 dB . |



Figure 22 - Speech Detection and Separation Testing Flow

After the Tests, Conformance Tester shall fill out Table 56. Table 56

| Table 56 – Conformance Testing form of Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) |
|--|
| Speech Detection and Separation |

| Standard, Use Case ID and VersionStandard ID and Use Case ID, Version and Profile of the standard in the form "CAE:EAE:1:0".Name of AIMSpeech Detection and SeparationImplementer IDUnique Implementer Identifier assigned by MPAI Store.AIM Implementation VersionUnique Implementation Identifier assigned by ImplementerNeural Network Version*Unique Neural Network Identifier assigned by ImplementerIdentifier of Conformance Testing DatasetUnique Test Identifier assigned by MPAI Store.The Conformance Tester will provide the following matrix containing a limited number of input records (n) with the corresponding outputs. If an input record fails, the tester would specify the reason why the test case fails. | Conformance Tester ID | Unique Conformance Tester Identifier assigned by MPAI | | | | | |
|---|----------------------------|--|---|--------------|-------------|--|--|
| Versionstandard in the form "CAE:EAE:1:0".Name of AIMSpeech Detection and SeparationImplementer IDUnique Implementer Identifier assigned by MPAI Store.AIM Implementation VersionUnique Implementation Identifier assigned by ImplementerNeural Network Version*Unique Neural Network Identifier assigned by ImplementerIdentifier of Conformance Testing DatasetUnique Test Identifier assigned by MPAI Store.Test IDUnique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester.Actual outputThe Conformance Tester will provide the following matrix containing a limited number of input records (n) with the corresponding outputs. If an input record fails, the tester would specify the reason why the test case fails. | Standard, Use Case ID and | Standard | Standard ID and Use Case ID, Version and Profile of the | | | | |
| Name of AIMSpeech Detection and SeparationImplementer IDUnique Implementer Identifier assigned by MPAI Store.AIM Implementation VersionUnique Implementation Identifier assigned by ImplementerNeural Network Version*Unique Neural Network Identifier assigned by ImplementerIdentifier of Conformance Testing DatasetUnique Dataset Identifier assigned by MPAI Store.Test IDUnique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester.Actual outputThe Conformance Tester will provide the following matrix containing a limited number of input records (n) with the corresponding outputs. If an input record fails, the tester would specify the reason why the test case fails. | Version | standard in the form "CAE:EAE:1:0". | | | | | |
| Implementer IDUnique Implementer Identifier assigned by MPAI Store.AIM Implementation VersionUnique Implementation Identifier assigned by ImplementerNeural Network Version*Unique Neural Network Identifier assigned by ImplementerIdentifier of Conformance Testing DatasetUnique Dataset Identifier assigned by MPAI Store.Identifier of Conformance Test IDUnique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester.The Conformance Tester will provide the following matrix containing a limited number of input records (n) with the corresponding outputs. If an input record fails, the tester would specify the reason why the test case fails. | Name of AIM | Speech Detection and Separation | | | | | |
| AIM Implementation VersionUnique Implementation Identifier assigned by ImplementerNeural Network Version*Unique Neural Network Identifier assigned by ImplementerIdentifier of Conformance Testing DatasetUnique Dataset Identifier assigned by MPAI Store.Identifier of Conformance Testing DatasetUnique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester.The Conformance Tester will provide the following matrix containing a limited number of input records (n) with the corresponding outputs. If an input record fails, the tester would specify the reason why the test case fails. | Implementer ID | Unique In | mplementer Ide | entifier ass | igned by M | PAI Store. | |
| Neural Network Version*Unique Neural Network Identifier assigned by ImplementerIdentifier of Conformance Testing DatasetUnique Dataset Identifier assigned by MPAI Store.Test IDUnique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester.Mathematical ConformanceThe Conformance Tester will provide the following matrix containing a limited number of input records (n) with the corresponding outputs. If an input record fails, the tester would specify the reason why the test case fails. | AIM Implementation Version | Unique In | mplementation | Identifier | assigned by | Implementer. | |
| Identifier of Conformance Testing DatasetUnique Dataset Identifier assigned by MPAI Store.Test IDUnique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester.Actual outputThe Conformance Tester will provide the following matrix containing a limited number of input records (n) with the corresponding outputs. If an input record fails, the tester would specify the reason why the test case fails. | Neural Network Version* | Unique Neural Network Identifier assigned by Implementer. | | | | | |
| Testing DatasetTest IDUnique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester.Actual outputThe Conformance Tester will provide the following matrix containing a limited number of input records (n) with the corresponding outputs. If an input record fails, the tester would specify the reason why the test case fails. | Identifier of Conformance | Unique Dataset Identifier assigned by MPAI Store. | | | | | |
| Test IDUnique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester.Actual outputThe Conformance Tester will provide the following matrix containing a limited number of input records (n) with the corresponding outputs. If an input record fails, the tester would specify the reason why the test case fails. | Testing Dataset | | | | | | |
| Actual output The Conformance Tester will provide the following matrix containing a limited number of input records (<i>n</i>) with the corresponding outputs. If an input record fails, the tester would specify the reason why the test case fails. | Test ID | Unique Test Identifier assigned by Conformance Tester. | | | | nce Tester. | |
| Input Expected Data Audio Source | Actual output | t The Conformance Tester will provide the following math containing a limited number of input records (<i>n</i>) with t corresponding outputs. If an input record fails, the test would specify the reason why the test case fails. | | | | lowing matrix s (n) with the ills, the tester ls. | |

| | $(\mathbf{DS1})$ | (DS2) | 3) | Geo | me_ | Metrics |
|-----------------|--|---------------------|----------------|---------------|-------------|----------------|
| | (DSI) | (D52, D5) | 5) | ti | mu- | wietries |
| | SHD | Transfor | m T/ | | '/F | T/F |
| | ID_1 | Speech II | \mathbf{D}_1 | | / 1 | 1/1 |
| | | Audio | | | | |
| | | Scene Ge | <u>-</u> | | | |
| | | ometry II | \mathbf{D}_1 | | | |
| | SHD | Transfor | m T/ | F T | '/F | T/F |
| | ID ₂ | Speech II | \mathbf{D}_2 | | | |
| | 2 | Audio | - 2 | | | |
| | | Scene Ge | e- | | | |
| | | ometry II | \mathbf{D}_2 | | | |
| | SHD | Transfor | m T/ | F T | '/F | T/F |
| | ID ₃ | Speech II | \mathbf{D}_3 | | | |
| | | Audio | | | | |
| | | Scene Ge | e- | | | |
| | | ometry I | D ₃ | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | SHD | Transform | m T/ | F T | /F | T/F |
| | ID_n | Speech II | \mathbf{D}_n | | | |
| | | Audio | | | | |
| | | Scene Ge | e- | | | |
| | | ometry II | \mathbf{D}_n | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Final evaluation : T/F | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Denoting | g with <i>i</i> , 1 | $\leq i < n$ | = 50, the | recon | d number in |
| | DS1, DS2 | 2, and DS3, | the matri | ces reflect | the re | sults obtained |
| | with input records i with the corresponding outputs i. | | | | | |
| | DS1 DS2 DS2 Growd Eight Description | | | | | |
| | DS1 DS2 DS3 Sound Field Description | | | | | |
| | output value | | | | | |
| | (obtained through the AIM | | | | igh the AIM | |
| | DC117 | DCOLU | DOJUI | U Cura 1 D | inder t | est) |
| | DS1[1] | D52[l] | DS3[1] | SpeechL | rotion | |
| Execution time* | ration[l] | | | | | |
| Tost comment* | Comments on test results and possible peeded estimate | | | | | |
| Test comment* | comments on test results and possible needed actions. | | | | | |
| 1 est Date | yyyy/mm/dd. | | | | | |

5.5.5 Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Noise Cancellation

Table 57 gives the input/output data of the Noise Cancellation.

Table 57 – I/O Data of Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Noise Cancellation

| AIM | Input Data | Output Data |
|--------------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| Noise Cancellation | Transform Speech | Denoised Transform |
| | Audio Scene Geometry | Speech |

Table 58 gives the *Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Noise Cancellation* Means and how they are used.

 Table 58 – AIM Means and use of Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Noise

 Cancellation

| Means | Actions |
|-------------|---|
| Conform- | DS1: <i>n</i> Test files containing SHD. |
| ance Test- | DS2: <i>n</i> Test files containing Transform Speech. |
| ing Dataset | DS3: <i>n</i> Test files containing Audio Scene Geometry. |
| | DS4: <i>n</i> Expected Denoised Transform Speech. |
| Procedure | 1. Feed the AIM under test with the Test files (DS1, DS2, DS3). |
| | 2. Analyse the Denoised Transform Speech (DS4). |
| Evaluation | 1. Compare the number of Audio Blocks in the Expected Denoised Transform |
| | Speech with the number of Audio Blocks in the Denoised Transform Speech |
| | Files. |
| | 2. Compute Perception Evaluation of Speech Quality (PESQ) between the Ex- |
| | pected and Output Denoised Transform Speech Files [6]. |
| | 3. Accept the AIM under test if these two conditions are satisfied: |
| | a. The number of Audio Blocks in the Denoised Transform Speech is |
| | the same with the number of Audio Blocks in the Expected De- |
| | noised Transform Speech. |
| | b. Compare each Denoised Transform Speech with the Expected De- |
| | noised Transform Speech. |
| | c. If the room reverb time (T60) is greater than 0.5 seconds. |
| | i. Each object's PESQ between the Expected and Output is |
| | greater than P=2.0. |
| | d. If the room reverb time (T60) is smaller than 0.5 seconds. |
| | i. Each object's PESQ between the Expected and Output is |
| | greater than P=3.0. |



Figure 23 - Noise Cancellation Testing Flow

After the Tests, Conformance Tester shall fill out Table 59. Table 59

 Table 59 – Conformance Testing form of Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE)

 Noise Cancellation

| | Final eva | luation : T | ΥF | | |
|-----------------|--|-------------|--------|-----------|----------------|
| | Denoting with $i, 1 \le i < n = 50$, the record number in DS1, DS2, and DS3, the matrices reflect the results obtained with input records i with the corresponding outputs i. | | | | |
| | DS1 DS2 DS3 DS4 Noise Cancella- | | | | |
| | tion output value | | | | |
| | (obtained | | | (obtained | |
| | through the AIM | | | | |
| | under test) | | | | |
| | DS1[<i>i</i>] | DS2[i] | DS3[i] | DS4[i] | NoiseCancella- |
| | | | | | tion[i] |
| Execution time* | Duration of test execution. | | | | |
| Test comment* | Comments on test results and possible needed actions. | | | | |
| Test Date | yyyy/mm/dd. | | | | |

5.5.6 Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Synthesis Transform

Table 60 gives the input/output data of the Synthesis Transform.

Table 60 – I/O Data of Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Synthesis Transform

| AIM | Input Data | Output Data |
|---------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| Synthesis Transform | Denoised Transform Speech | Denoised Speech |

Table 61 gives the *Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Synthesis Transform* Means and how they are used.

 Table 61 – AIM Means and use of Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Synthesis

 Transform

| Means | Actions |
|-------------|---|
| Conform- | DS1: <i>n</i> Test files including data in Denoised Transform Speech format. |
| ance Test- | DS2: <i>n</i> Expected Output files including data in Denoised Speech format. |
| ing Dataset | |
| Procedure | 1. Feed the AIM under test with the Test files (DS1). |
| | 2. Analyse the Denoised Speech with the Expected Output files (DS2). |
| Evaluation | 1. Check the Denoised Speech data format with the given Expected Output files |
| | format. |
| | 2. Calculate the peak-to-peak Amplitude (A) of each Audio block in the Ex- |
| | pected Output files. |
| | 3. Calculate the RMSE of each Audio block by comparing the output (<i>x</i>) with |
| | the Expected Output files (y) Audio blocks. |
| | 4. Accept the AIM under test if, for each audio block, these the two conditions |
| | are satisfied: |
| | a. Data format of the Denoised Speech is the same with the Expected Output |
| | Files and |
| | b. $RMSE < A^* 0.1\%$ |



Figure 24 - Synthesis Transform Testing Flow

After the Tests, Conformance Tester shall fill out Table 62Table 62

 Table 62 – Conformance Testing form of Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE)

 Synthesis Transform

| | Final eva | luation: T | /F | |
|-----------------|---|------------|--------------------------------|--|
| | Denoting with i , $0 \le i < n = 50$, the record number in DS1 and DS2, the matrices reflect the results obtained with input records i with the corresponding outputs i. | | | |
| | DS1 DS2 Synthesis Transform out- | | | |
| | | | put value | |
| | | | (obtained through the | |
| | | | AIM under test) | |
| | DS1[<i>i</i>] | DS2[i] | SynthesisTransform[<i>i</i>] | |
| | | | · | |
| Execution time* | Duration of test execution. | | | |
| Test comment* | Comments on test results and possible needed actions. | | | |
| Test Date | yyyy/mm/dd. | | | |

5.5.7 Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Packager

Table 63 gives the input/output data of the Packager.

Table 63 – I/O Data of Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Packager

| AIM | Input Data | Output Data |
|----------|---|----------------------------------|
| Packager | Denoised Speech | Multichannel Audio + Audio Scene |
| | Microphone Array Geometry Audio Scene Geometry | Geometry |

Table 64 Table 64 gives the *Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Packager* Means and how they are used.

Table 64 – AIM Means and use of Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE) Packager

| Means | Actions |
|-------------|--|
| Conform- | DS1: <i>n</i> Test files including data in Denoised Speech format. |
| ance Test- | DS2: <i>n</i> Microphone Array Geometry. |
| ing Dataset | DS3: <i>n</i> Audio Scene Geometry associated with the Denoised Speech. |
| | DS4: <i>n</i> Expected Output files including data in Multichannel Audio Stream for- |
| | mat. |
| Procedure | 1. Feed the AIM under test with the Test files (DS1, DS2, DS3). |
| | 2. Analyse the Multichannel Audio + Audio Scene Geometry with the Expected |
| | Output files (DS4). |
| Evaluation | 1. Check the Multichannel Audio + Audio Scene Geometry data format with the |
| | given Expected Output files format. |
| | 2. Calculate the peak-to-peak Amplitude (A) of each Audio block in the Ex- |
| | pected Output files. |
| | 3. Calculate the RMSE of each Audio block by comparing the output (<i>x</i>) with |
| | the Expected Output files (y) Audio blocks. |

| 4. | Accept the AIM under test if, for each audio block, these the two conditions are satisfied: |
|----|---|
| | a. Data format of the Multichannel Audio + Audio Scene Geometry is the |
| | same as the Expected Output Files and |
| | b. $RMSE < A * 0.1\%$ |



Figure 25 - Packager Testing Flow

After the Tests, Conformance Tester shall fill out Table 65.

 Table 65 – Conformance Testing form of Enhanced Audioconference Experience (CAE-EAE)

 Packager

| Conformance Tester ID | Unique Conformance Tester Identifier assigned by MPAI | | | oy MPAI |
|----------------------------|--|------------------|----------------|--------------|
| Standard, Use Case ID and | Standard ID and Us | e Case ID, Vo | ersion and Pro | ofile of the |
| Version | standard in the form "CAE:EAE:1:0". | | | |
| Name of AIM | Packager | | | |
| Implementer ID | Unique Implementer | Identifier assi | igned by MPA | I Store. |
| AIM Implementation Version | Unique Implementat | ion Identifier a | assigned by Im | plementer. |
| Neural Network Version* | Unique Neural Netw | ork Identifier a | assigned by Im | plementer. |
| Identifier of Conformance | Unique Dataset Iden | tifier assigned | by MPAI Sto | re. |
| Testing Dataset | | | | |
| Test ID | Unique Test Identifie | er assigned by | Conformance | Tester. |
| Actual output | The Conformance Tester will provide the following matrix with a limited number of input records (n) with the corresponding outputs. If an input record fails, the tester would specify the reason why the test case fails. | | | |
| | Input data (DS1, | Expected | Data For- | RMSE |
| | DS2, DS3) | Output | mat | |
| | | Data (DS4) | | A 1/2 |
| | Denoised Speech | Interleaved | T/F | < A * |

| | ID | | 36 1.1.4 | | | 0.101 |
|-----------------|-----------------|----------------------------|--------------|-----------------|-------------|------------------|
| | $ ID_1 $ | | Multich | nan- | | 0.1% |
| | Microph | none Array | nel Au | d10 | | |
| | Geomet | ry ID ₁ | + Auc | 110 C | | |
| | Audio S | Scene Ge- | Scene | Ue- | | |
| | ometry | ID_1 | ometry | ID_1 | | |
| | Denoise | d Speech | Interlea | ived | T/F | < A * |
| | ID ₂ | | Multich | nan- | | 0.1% |
| | Microph | none Array | nel Au | dio | | |
| | Geomet | ry ID ₂ | + Auc | lio | | |
| | Audio S | Scene Ge- | Scene | Ge- | | |
| | ometry | ID_2 | ometry | ID_2 | | |
| | Denoise | d Speech | Interlea | ived | T/F | < A * |
| | ID ₃ | | Multich | nan- | | 0.1% |
| | Microph | none Array | nel Au | dio | | |
| | Geomet | ry ID ₃ | + Auc | lio | | |
| | Audio S | Scene Ge- | Scene | Ge- | | |
| | ometry | ID ₃ | ometry | ID ₃ | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Denoise | d Speech | Interlea | ived | T/F | < A * |
| | $ ID_n $ | | Multich | nan- | | 0.1% |
| | Compet | ione Array | nel Au | | | |
| | Audio S | $fy ID_n$ | + Auc | llO Ga | | |
| | ometry | | ometry | | | |
| | onicity | ID _n | onicuy | \mathbf{ID}_n | | |
| | Final eva | luation : T | /F | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| | Denoting | with <i>i</i> , 1 | $\leq i < n$ | = 50, the | e record | number in |
| | DS1, DS2 | 2, and DS3 | , the matri | ces reflect | t the resul | lts obtained |
| | with inpu | it records i | with the c | correspond | ling outpu | uts i. |
| | DS1 | DS2 | DS3 | DS4 | Packag | er output |
| | | | | | va | alue |
| | | | | | (ob | tained |
| | | | | | through | the AIM |
| | DOILS | DCOLU | DOALI | DOAFT | unde | er test) |
| | DSI[i] | DS2[i] | DS3[1] | DS4[1] | Pack | ager[<i>i</i>] |
| Execution time* | Duration | of test exe | cution. | • • • | 1 1 | |
| Test comment* | Commen | $\frac{1}{1}$ ts on test r | esults and | possible r | needed ac | tions. |
| Test Date | yyyy/mm | n/dd. | | | | |

Annex 1 – MPAI-wide terms and definitions (Normative)

The Terms used in this standard whose first letter is capital and are not already included in *Table 1* are defined in *Table 66Table 66*.

| Term | Definition |
|------------------|--|
| Access | Static or slowly changing data that are required by an application such as |
| | domain knowledge data, data models, etc. |
| AI Framework | The environment where AIWs are executed. |
| (AIF) | |
| AI Module | A processing element receiving AIM-specific Inputs and producing AIM- |
| (AIM) | specific Outputs according to according to its Function. An AIM may be an |
| | aggregation of AIMs. |
| AI Workflow | A structured aggregation of AIMs implementing a Use Case receiving AIM- |
| (AIW) | specific inputs and producing AIM-specific inputs according to its Function. |
| AIF Metadata | The data set describing the capabilities of an AIF set by the AIF Implem- |
| | enter. |
| AIM Metadata | The data set describing the capabilities of an AIM set by the AIM Implem- |
| | enter. |
| Application Pro- | A software interface that allows two applications to talk to each other |
| gramming Inter- | |
| face (API) | |
| Application | An MPAI Standard specifying AIWs, AIMs, Topologies and Formats suit- |
| Standard | able for a particular application domain. |
| Channel | A physical or logical connection between an output Port of an AIM and an |
| | input Port of an AIM. The term "connection" is also used as a synonym. |
| Communication | The infrastructure that implements message passing between AIMs. |
| Component | One of the 9 AIF elements: Access, AI Module, AI Workflow, Communi- |
| | cation, Controller, Internal Storage, Global Storage, MPAI Store, and User |
| | Agent. |
| Conformance | The attribute of an Implementation of being a correct technical Implemen- |
| C f | tation of a Technical Specification. |
| Conformance | An entity authorised by MPAI to Test the Conformance of an Implementa- |
| Conformance | The process that warifies that the AIMs and/or the AIW of a Use Case part |
| Testing | of a Standard have been implemented to produce date whose Sementics and |
| resung | Format conform with the Normative clauses of the relevant Use Case of the |
| | Technical Specification while providing a user experience level equal to or |
| | greater than the level specified in the Conformance Testing Specification |
| Conformance | Procedures tools data sets and/or data set characteristics to Test the Con- |
| Testing Means | formance of an Implementation |
| Connection | A channel connecting an output port of an AIM and an input port of an AIM. |
| Controller | A Component that manages and controls the AIMs in the AIF. so that they |
| | execute in the correct order and at the time when they are needed. |
| Data | Information in digital form. |
| Data Format | The standard digital representation of Data. |
| Data Semantics | The meaning of Data. |
| Device | A hardware and/or software entity running at least one instance of an AIF. |

Table 66 – MPAI-wide Terms

| Ecosystem | The ensemble of the following actors: MPAI, MPAI Store, Implementers, |
|---------------------------|---|
| | Conformance Testers, Performance Testers and Users of MPAI-AIF Imple- |
| | mentations as needed to enable an Interoperability Level. |
| Event | An occurrence acted on by an Implementation. |
| Explainability | The ability to trace the output of an Implementation back to the inputs that |
| 1 2 | have produced it. |
| Fairness | The attribute of an Implementation whose extent of applicability can be as- |
| | sessed by making the training set and/or network open to testing for bias |
| | and unanticipated results. |
| Function | The operations effected by an AIW or an AIM on input data. |
| Global Storage | A Component to store data shared by AIMs. |
| Identifier | A name that uniquely identifies an Implementation. |
| Implementation | 1. An embodiment of the MPAI-AIF Technical Specification, or |
| _ | 2. An AIW or AIM of a particular Level (1-2-3). |
| Internal Storage | A Component to store data of the individual AIMs. |
| Interoperability | The ability to functionally replace an AIM/AIW with another AIM/AIW |
| | having the same Interoperability Level |
| Interoperability | The attribute of an AIW and its AIMs to be executable in an AIF Implemen- |
| Level | tation and to be: |
| | 1. Implementer-specific and satisfying the MPAI-AIF Standard (Level 1). |
| | 2. Specified by an MPAI Application Standard (Level 2). |
| | 3. Specified by an MPAI Application Standard and certified by a Perfor- |
| | mance Assessor (Level 3). |
| Knowledge Base | Structured and/or unstructured information made accessible to AIMs via |
| | MPAI-specified interfaces |
| Message | A sequence of Records. |
| Normativity | The set of attributes of a technology or a set of technologies specified by the |
| | applicable parts of an MPAI standard. |
| Performance | The attribute of an Implementation of being Reliable, Robust, Fair and Rep- |
| | licable. |
| Performance As- | The normative document specifying the procedures, the tools, the data sets |
| sessment | and/or the data set characteristics to Assess the Grade of Performance of an |
| | Implementation. |
| Performance As- | Procedures, tools, data sets and/or data set characteristics to Assess the Per- |
| sessment Means | formance of an Implementation. |
| Performance As- | An entity authorised by MPAI to Assess the Performance of an Implemen- |
| Sessor De ré | tation in a given Application domain |
| Port | A physical of logical communication interface of an AIM. |
| Prome | A particular subset of the technologies used in MPAI-AIF of an AIW of an |
| | Application Standard and, where applicable, the classes, other subsets, op- |
| Deserd | Determite a specified structure |
| Recolu Reference Model | The AIMs and theirs Connections in an AIW |
| Reference Nodel | A tashnically correct software implementation of a Tashnical Specification |
| Ware | containing source code, or source and compiled code |
| Poliobility | The attribute of an Implementation that performs as specified by the Appli |
| Kenability | cation Standard profile and version the Implementation refers to e.g. |
| | within the application scope stated limitations and for the period of time |
| | specified by the Implementer |
| | specified by the implementer. |

| Replicability | The attribute of an Implementation whose Performance, as Assessed by a |
|------------------|---|
| | Performance Assessor, can be replicated, within an agreed level, by another |
| | Performance Assessor. |
| Robustness | The attribute of an Implementation that copes with data outside of the stated |
| | application scope with an estimated degree of confidence. |
| Scope | The domain of applicability of an MPAI Application Standard |
| Service Provider | An entrepreneur who offers an Implementation as a service (e.g., a recom- |
| | mendation service) to Users. |
| Specification | A collection of normative clauses. |
| Standard | The ensemble of Technical Specification, Reference Software, Conforman- |
| | ce Testing and Performance Assessment of an MPAI application Standard. |
| Technical Speci- | (Framework) the normative specification of the AIF. |
| fication | (Application) the normative specification of the set of AIWs belonging to |
| | an application domain along with the AIMs required to Implement the AIWs |
| | that includes: |
| | 1. The formats of the Input/Output data of the AIWs implementing the |
| | AIWs. |
| | 2. The Connections of the AIMs of the AIW. |
| | 3. The formats of the Input/Output data of the AIMs part of the AIW. |
| Testing Labora- | A laboratory accredited by MPAI to Assess the Grade of Performance of |
| tory | Implementations. |
| Time Base | The protocol specifying how Components can access timing information |
| Topology | The set of AIM Connections of an AIW. |
| Use Case | A particular instance of the Application domain target of an Application |
| | Standard. |
| User | A user of an Implementation. |
| User Agent | The Component interfacing the user with an AIF through the Controller |
| Version | A revision or extension of a Standard or of one of its elements. |
| Wrapper AIM | An AIM implementation performing the function of an MPAI standardised |
| | AIM by calling an openly accessible, possibly paid internet service provided |
| | by a third party. E.g., Microsoft TrueText. |
| Zero Trust | A cybersecurity model primarily focused on data and service protection that |
| | assumes no implicit trust. |

Annex 2 - Notices and Disclaimers Concerning MPAI Standards (Informative)

The notices and legal disclaimers given below shall be borne in mind when <u>downloading</u> and using approved MPAI Standards.

In the following, "Standard" means the collection of four MPAI-approved and <u>published</u> documents: "Technical Specification", "Reference Software" and "Conformance Testing" and, where applicable, "Performance Testing".

Life cycle of MPAI Standards

MPAI Standards are developed in accordance with the <u>MPAI Statutes</u>. An MPAI Standard may only be developed when a Framework Licence has been adopted. MPAI Standards are developed by especially established MPAI Development Committees who operate on the basis of consensus, as specified in Annex 1 of the <u>MPAI Statutes</u>. While the MPAI General Assembly and the Board of Directors administer the process of the said Annex 1, MPAI does not independently evaluate, test, or verify the accuracy of any of the information or the suitability of any of the technology choices made in its Standards.

MPAI Standards may be modified at any time by corrigenda or new editions. A new edition, however, may not necessarily replace an existing MPAI standard. Visit the <u>web page</u> to determine the status of any given published MPAI Standard.

Comments on MPAI Standards are welcome from any interested parties, whether MPAI members or not. Comments shall mandatorily include the name and the version of the MPAI Standard and, if applicable, the specific page or line the comment applies to. Comments should be sent to the <u>MPAI Secretariat</u>. Comments will be reviewed by the appropriate committee for their technical relevance. However, MPAI does not provide interpretation, consulting information, or advice on MPAI Standards. Interested parties are invited to join MPAI so that they can attend the relevant Development Committees.

Coverage and Applicability of MPAI Standards

MPAI makes no warranties or representations of any kind concerning its Standards, and expressly disclaims all warranties, expressed or implied, concerning any of its Standards, including but not limited to the warranties of merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, non-infringement etc. MPAI Standards are supplied "AS IS".

The existence of an MPAI Standard does not imply that there are no other ways to produce and distribute products and services in the scope of the Standard. Technical progress may render the technologies included in the MPAI Standard obsolete by the time the Standard is used, especially in a field as dynamic as AI. Therefore, those looking for standards in the Data Compression by Artificial Intelligence area should carefully assess the suitability of MPAI Standards for their needs.

IN NO EVENT SHALL MPAI BE LIABLE FOR ANY DIRECT, INDIRECT, INCIDENTAL, SPECIAL, EXEMPLARY, OR CONSEQUENTIAL DAMAGES (INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO: THE NEED TO PROCURE SUBSTITUTE GOODS OR SERVICES; LOSS OF USE, DATA, OR PROFITS; OR BUSINESS INTERRUPTION) HOWEVER CAUSED AND ON ANY THEORY OF LIABILITY, WHETHER IN CONTRACT, STRICT LIABILITY, OR TORT (INCLUDING NEGLIGENCE OR OTHERWISE) ARISING IN ANY WAY OUT OF

THE PUBLICATION, USE OF, OR RELIANCE UPON ANY STANDARD, EVEN IF AD-VISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGE AND REGARDLESS OF WHETHER SUCH DAMAGE WAS FORESEEABLE.

MPAI alerts users that practicing its Standards may infringe patents and other rights of third parties. Submitters of technologies to this standard have agreed to licence their Intellectual Property according to their respective Framework Licences.

Users of MPAI Standards should consider all applicable laws and regulations when using an MPAI Standard. The validity of Conformance Testing is strictly technical and refers to the correct implementation of the MPAI Standard. Moreover, positive Performance Assessment of an implementation applies exclusively in the context of the <u>MPAI Governance</u> and does not imply compliance with any regulatory requirements in the context of any jurisdiction. Therefore, it is the responsibility of the MPAI Standard implementer to observe or refer to the applicable regulatory requirements. By publishing an MPAI Standard, MPAI does not intend to promote actions that are not in compliance with applicable laws, and the Standard shall not be construed as doing so. In particular, users should evaluate MPAI Standards from the viewpoint of data privacy and data ownership in the context of their jurisdictions.

Implementers and users of MPAI Standards documents are responsible for determining and complying with all appropriate safety, security, environmental and health and all applicable laws and regulations.

Copyright

MPAI draft and approved standards, whether they are in the form of documents or as web pages or otherwise, are copyrighted by MPAI under Swiss and international copyright laws. MPAI Standards are made available and may be used for a wide variety of public and private uses, e.g., implementation, use and reference, in laws and regulations and standardisation. By making these documents available for these and other uses, however, MPAI does not waive any rights in copyright to its Standards. For inquiries regarding the copyright of MPAI standards, please contact the MPAI Secretariat.

The Reference Software of an MPAI Standard is released with the <u>MPAI Modified Berkeley Soft-</u> <u>ware Distribution licence</u>. However, implementers should be aware that the Reference Software of an MPAI Standard may reference some third party software that may have a different licence.

Annex 3 – The Governance of the MPAI Ecosystem (Informative)

Level 1 Interoperability

With reference to **Error! Reference source not found.**, MPAI issues and maintains a standard – called MPAI-AIF – whose components are:

- 1. An environment called AI Framework (AIF) running AI Workflows (AIW) composed of interconnected AI Modules (AIM) exposing standard interfaces.
- 2. A distribution system of AIW and AIM Implementation called MPAI Store from which an AIF Implementation can download AIWs and AIMs.

| Implementers' | Upload to the MPAI Store and have globally distributed Implementations of |
|-----------------|---|
| benefits | - AIFs conforming to MPAI-AIF. |
| | - AIWs and AIMs performing proprietary functions executable in AIF. |
| Users' benefits | Rely on Implementations that have been tested for security. |
| MPAI Store's | - Tests the Conformance of Implementations to MPAI-AIF. |
| role | - Verifies Implementations' security, e.g., absence of malware. |
| | - Indicates unambiguously that Implementations are Level 1. |

Level 2 Interoperability

In a Level 2 Implementation, the AIW shall be an Implementation of an MPAI Use Case and the AIMs shall conform with an MPAI Application Standard.

| Implementers' | Upload to the MPAI Store and have globally distributed Implementations of |
|---------------|---|
| benefits | - AIFs conforming to MPAI-AIF. |
| | - AIWs and AIMs conforming to MPAI Application Standards. |
| Users' bene- | - Rely on Implementations of AIWs and AIMs whose Functions have been |
| fits | reviewed during standardisation. |
| | - Have a degree of Explainability of the AIW operation because the AIM |
| | Functions and the data Formats are known. |
| Market's ben- | - Open AIW and AIM markets foster competition leading to better products. |
| efits | - Competition of AIW and AIM Implementations fosters AI innovation. |
| MPAI Store's | - Tests Conformance of Implementations with the relevant MPAI Standard. |
| role | - Verifies Implementations' security. |
| | - Indicates unambiguously that Implementations are Level 2. |

Level 3 Interoperability

MPAI does not generally set standards on how and with what data an AIM should be trained. This is an important differentiator that promotes competition leading to better solutions. However, the performance of an AIM is typically higher if the data used for training are in greater quantity and more in tune with the scope. Training data that have large variety and cover the spectrum of all cases of interest in breadth and depth typically lead to Implementations of higher "quality". For Level 3, MPAI normatively specifies the process, the tools and the data or the characteristics of the data to be used to Assess the Grade of Performance of an AIM or an AIW.

| Implementers' | May claim their Implementations have passed Performance Assessment. |
|---------------|---|
| benefits | |
| Users' bene- | Get assurance that the Implementation being used performs correctly, e.g., it |
| fits | has been properly trained. |
| Market's ben- | Implementations' Performance Grades stimulate the development of more Per- |
| efits | forming AIM and AIW Implementations. |

MPAI Store's - Verifies the Implementations' security role - Indicates unambiguously that Implementations are Level 3.

The MPAI ecosystem

The following *Figure 26* is a high-level description of the MPAI ecosystem operation applicable to fully conforming MPAI implementations:

- 1. MPAI establishes and controls the not-for-profit MPAI Store.
- 2. MPAI appoints Performance Assessors.
- 3. MPAI publishes Standards.
- 4. Implementers submit Implementations to Performance Assessors.
- 5. If the Implementation Performance is acceptable, Performance Assessors inform Implementers (step 5a) and MPAI Store.
- 6. Implementers submit Implementations to the MPAI Store tested for Conformance and security.
- 7. Users download and use Implementations and submit experience scores.



Figure 26 - The MPAI ecosystem operation.